Mr. Lott Ridgely, that the original sale of the property was to Mr. Bradford?

18 X answer Yes, sir.

Nineteenth cross-interrogatory. And that you are now called upon to perfect a title which was imperfect, for the reasons you stated; is that so?

19 X answer. Yes, sir.

Twentieth, cross-interrogatory. Well, now, if I were to tell you that the original sale of the property was not to Mr. Bradford at all, but to somebody else, do you think that your faith would be shaken in the clearness and the positiveness of your recollection of conversations that took place 35 years ago?

20 X answer. No, sir, it would not, from the fact of my calling upon Mr. Bradford, and having a conversation with him in regard to it; and as regards to dates I have named at the time Mr. Ridgely talked to me about the matter at the store, from the fact of my being in Mr. Orendorff's employ at that time.

Re-Examined for Complainants.

Twenty-ninth interrogatory. You have stated, that in 1850, you moved from Baltimore City to Frederick County; for how long a time, prior to 1850, had you been living in Baltimore?

29 answer. I moved with my father from Frederick County to Baltimore, in April, 1834, and lived here 16 years.

Thirtieth interrogatory. What is your age now?

30 answer. I was born in 1824.

Thirty-first interrogatory. Then at the time this Bradford deed was executed, in 1848, you were not living in Frederick County, but were living in the City of Baltimore and so continued to live until 1850?

31 answer Yes, sir.

No other witnesses being named or produced to me, I then, at the request of the solicitors of the respective parties, closed the said Commission and now return it closed, under my hand and seal, on this twelfth day of June, in the year of our Lord, eighteen hundred and eighty-three, at the City of Baltimore in the State of Maryland.

Jno. B. Tidy Jr. (Seal.)
Commissioner.

There are no Exhibits to this Commission.

Jno. B. Tidy, Jr.
Commissioner.

Agreement as to the filing of Exhibits
(Filed 5th July, 1883.)

Kemp, et al. vs Bradford, et al.	In the Circuit Court of Baltimore City.

The complainants' Exhibit A. deed of trust from Lott Ridgely, and Defendants "Lott Ridgely, et al, to Thomas Kell," and George W. Ridgely, &c, to Augustus W. Bradford, adm'r, called for by bill and answer, and having been before the Court on the hearing of this cause by consent of counsel, though not filed, it is agreed, that the same be now filed nunc pro tunc.

Albert Ritchie,
For Complainants.
John V. L. Findlay
Sol'r for Resp'dts.

The exhibits referred to in the aforegoing agreement, and filed therewith, are as follows, respectively, to wit: