and myself, and I gave them my notes, as before stated, when they were delivered; I never owed to said Jesse H. Haugh or Margaret A. Haugh anything before the said 9th of May, 1883; I judged of the value of said property in Taneytown on the 9th of May, 1883, told me; Jesse Haugh had told me in Smithsburg, that said property from what I had seen of it, and from what said Jesse H. Haugh was worth $5,000.00, but I was of a different opinion; he agreed to take the $3,500 in Government bonds, on which there was a premium, and they, with the coupons which had been cut off quarterly, I think, and the premium, amounted to something over $4,000. I think, but I dont remember what the amount of the premium was, and there was no coupons on the bonds then due; the understanding in my mind when I rented the property to Mrs. Haugh was, that they were to occupy it for a dwelling, and she coned keep a store in it if she wanted, or she could rent it to anybody else to keep a store in it if she chose.

The examination of the witness being then closed on both sides, the cross-examination being waived at the present. (See agreement of counsel filed with Exr.)

The Examiner then put to the deponent the usual additional interrogatory, to which he responds as follows:

"I do not know nor can I state, any other matter or thing which may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this cause, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this my examination, or the matters in question between the parties".

Manoah Metz.

Test: — Jos. M. Parke.
Examiner.



No other witness being present to be examined, and it being late in the day, the Examiner then adjourned to Saturday, the 10th day of April, 1886.

Jos. M. Parke,
Examiner.

Saturday, April 10th, 1886.

Pursuant to last adjournment aforesaid, the Examiner again attended at the Court House, Westminster, Carroll County, Maryland, at 10 O'ck., A.M., on Saturday, the 10th of April, 1886, for the purpose of taking further evidence in said cause; and then and there, in the presence of the solicitors of the plaintiffs, and of the defendants, proceeded to take the following further deposition and evidence to wit;

Mrs. Margaret A. Haugh, a witness of lawful age, produced on the part of plaintiffs, being duly summoned, sworn and examined viva voce to interrogatories propounded to her orally by plaintiffs' solicitor, deposes and says as follows, to wit:

I am one of the defendants in this case, and the wife of Jesse H. Haugh, also one of defendants; I have been married to him 16 years next month of May; I am the daughter of Isaac H. Durborrow and Elizabeth C. Durborrow my said father died last October, 12 years ago — in October, 1883; my mother is still living, and resides at Smithsburg, in Washington county, in this State, with her son-in-law, Manoah Metz; I reside in Taneytown, Carroll county, Md., and have resided there ever since my marriage; during all that time I have resided in the same house which was conveyed by or mentioned in the deed from my said husband and myself to said Manoah Metz, dated on