the premises, and neither admits nor denies the several matters and things averred as aforesaid in the bill, in respect of the above particulars leaves the complainant to the proper proof thereof.

This respondent admits that said Miles White did convey his entire interest in the premises, as alleged in the bill aforesaid, unto your respondent.

This respondent denies that it will be for the interest and advantage of any, or of all the parties interested in said premises, that the same should be sold, and excepts and prays to have the like benefit thereof, as if formal exceptions were made by this respondent to the sufficiency of the averment of the said bill in that behalf. On the contrary this respondent avers, that the said premises are, from their situation and from the manner in which said land lie, and from the regularity of their outlines, entirely susceptible of a fair and equal partition amongst the parties interested therein, in proportion to their several interest, and may be so divided amongst the parties in specie equally and fairly, according to their several interests, without loss or injury to them, or to any of them; and this respondent accordingly consents to said partition being made under the direction of this Court.

And this respondent prays to be hence dismissed, &c.

Thomas H. Hall,
City Solicitor,
H. F. Wharton,
Solrs. for Respondent.

Wm. Pinkney White, Mayor of the City of Baltimore.

Answer of Cumberland Dugan, &c.
(Filed Oct. 27, 1881.)

Emma Prestman, by next friend, John H. Hawkins, vs. Cumberland Dugan, Dwight E. Lyman, Trustees; Thomas Prestman, and Others.	In the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, in Equity.

The answer of Cumberland Dugan, and Dwight E. Lyman, trustees, appointed under the will of George Prestman, deceased, to the bill of complaint of Emma Prestman, by her next friend, against them and others in this Court exhibited: This respondents reserving to themselves the right hereafter to except to the many errors and imperfections in said bill contained, for answer to so much thereof that is material to be answered, says, that at the time of the death of said George Prestman, he was the owner of the one-fourth interest in a tract or parcel of land located in Druid Hill Park, Baltimore county, and described in Complaints' Exhib. No. 1; and they admit that the other three-fourths of said tract of land has been transferred by different conveyance to different parties; and they believe that now one-half of the land described in said Exhibit No. 1, belongs to the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, and that the other fourth was acquired by purchase by S. Carroll Spence, Esq., under a decree of this Honorable Court, which said sale has been duly ratified by the Court. And they further admit, that the said George