29x q. How do you ascertain it is 1804?
A. By his signature there.
30x q. He has noted a date in the margin, but that does not prove he did it in 1804, nor has he used the word "certified"; this is your private opinion as to this being his certificate, and his method of doing things; that is not knowledge; you and I were not born in 1804?
A. That is our only guide.

By Mr. Boarman:

31x q. Does that paper show that the lines were not run without variation?
A. That and the holdings show it.
32x q. You have shown that the paper showed that they were run without variation, have you not?
A. Yes, sir.
33x q. And that this paper showed it; now I ask you particularly whether that paper shows that those lines were run without variation?
A. It does.
34x q. On the face of the paper?
A. Yes, sir; the line that he ran there is the line called for in the patent; if you run it a different course, it would show a different variation.
35x q. Suppose he had run these lines with four degrees variation, and they had come exactly as in the patent?
A. Then I would have said he did not run the lines he put in his deed; it is presumed that he ran it unless he said to the contrary.
36x q. Then the paper shows a fact absolutely, that it does not show that it was run with variation, or without variation?

A. It does, unless proved to the contrary.
37x q. I understood you to say awhile ago, in reference to the location of your lines, that you located your lands as you found they had been heretofore run by the old surveyors in the neighborhood?
A. Yes, sir.
38x q. So far as your running and Mr. Martenet's running goes, you are both alike; is that true?
A. I do not know.
39x q. He (Martenet) testified that he located this plat by the old lines that he found in the neighborhood, and you have done the same thing?
A. No; I located the line of the Quarry. Mr. Martenet did not; he located the possession line; I don't know any thing about any possession, and did not locate it.
40x q. If Mr. Martenet located this land according to the possession line, as he found it at the time he made the survey, then the survey was proper?
A. If it agrees with the lines of the Quarry; the principle applied to the survey may differ from the location — surveyors may agree as to the principle to apply to the survey, but if the surveyor makes a mistake in applying that principle, by no means would the mistake apply.
41x q. My question is this, if Mr. Martenet had run his lines according to the holdings in the neighborhood, then I understand you to say that his running would have been according to your practice of running; is that correct?
A. If he had run his lines according to the holdings, do you mean; when we apply the principle to the running of the line, and we do not agree with the holdings, the parties then have to rely upon the possession of the hold-