said decree; all other equities are hereby reserved for the further consideration of the Court at the hearing.

David Fowler.

Amended Return of Commissioners.

(Filed July 1st, 1884.)

Emma Presstman by her next friend et al., vs. Cumberland Dugan et al.	In the Circuit Court of Baltimore County.

To the Honorable, the Circuit Court of Balto. County:

The amended return of the undersigned, Commissioners appointed under the decree in the above cause, made in obedience to the order of this Court directing said return, shows to the Court that after having taken the oath as prescribed, they gave more than thirty days notice by affixing the same in writing upon the premises, and also at the Court House door of this county, and also in another public place in Druid Hill Park, and at the Court House door of the city of Baltimore, upon or near the toll-gate of the York Turnpike at Waverly, of which notices they herewith file a copy, that they would meet on the premises on the day therein named, viz: the 30th of June, A. D. 1883, proceed at the hour of 12 o'clock, M., to execute the said commission as therein directed, and said copy of which they herewith submit as a part of this report, and they also gave special notice to the parties to the suit, and the said parties attended, on said day, according to said notice, as follows, to wit: The complainant, Emma Presstman, by her next friend, John W. Hawkins, was represented by A. H. Robertson, Esquire, attorney; the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, by John P. Poe and William F. Wharton, Esquires, its attorneys, and the residue of the defendants, trustees of the Presstman estate, were represented by Robert R. Boarman and George Hawkins Williams, their attorneys, and that they did on said day, in the presence of said attorneys, walk over, view and go upon said land, and cause the same to be surveyed in their presence; the said land being that described in the proceedings and in their former return, the said survey being made by Charles B. McLean, the county surveyor of Baltimore county, the said parties, through their said counsel, stating and declaring that there were no incumbrances or liens upon said land whatever, and for the purpose of carefully and faithfully ascertaining the value of said land, and executing said commission, we did adjourn our meeting from time to time, and received and took under oath all the evidence that was adduced by said parties, and that at each and every meeting the said parties were respectively present and in attendance by their counsel aforesaid, and that we never proceeded, save in their presence, and that after they had respectively declared they had no further evidence to produce, (and all the said testimony so taken we heretofore returned with the commission,) we, in consultation, agreed upon the partition and values as heretofore returned to this Court, and that we determined that said land did admit of such partition, without loss or injury to each and all parties entitled, and ascertained its value in current money, and did divide the same accordingly as heretofore reported, and did then cause the same to be surveyed and laid out by the said county sur-