	$	c.
Robert K. Martin,		75
John F. Conrey, attorney's fee	30	00
Charles J. R. Thorpe, Commissioner	90	00
Samuel Brady, "	90	00
Henry R. Wilson, "	90	00
Gist T. Cockey, "	90	00
William S. Whiteley, "	90	00
Charles B. McClean, surveyor, for surveys, pay of assistants making plats, descriptions and report	111	00
	$591	75

Given under our hands and seals this 23rd day of Sept. A. D. 1885.

Charles J. R. Thorpe, [Seal.]
Saml. Brady, [Seal.]
Henry R. Wilson, [Seal.]

Objection of the M. & C. C. of Baltimore.
(Filed Oct. 31st. 1885.)

Presstman, Infant, etc
vs.
Cumberland Dugan, et al., Trustees, &c.
} In the Circuit Court for Balto. Co., sitting in Equity.

To the Hon'l. the Judges of the Circuit Court for Balto. Co., Sitting in Equity.

The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, one of the defendants in the above entitled cause, by its attorney, W. F. Wharton, object to the final ratification of the

return and partition made by the Commissioners, and filed this 31st day of October, 1885, because of errors and irregularities appearing on the same, and for other reasons to be assigned at the hearing of these objections.

W. F. Wharton,
Atty. for the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore City.

Exception.
(Filed Nov. 5, 1885.)

Presstman, et al.,
vs.
Cumberland Dugan, Dwight E. Lyman, Mayor and City Council, et al.
} In the Circuit Court for Baltimore County in Equity

The trustees, Cumberland Dugan, and Dwight E. Lyman, trustees, &c., except and object to the return of the Commissioners, filed in this Court on 31 day of October, A. D. 1885, for the following reasons:

1st. Because said report and division was only made by three of the Commissioners appointed by this Court.

2nd. Because the valuation of the land by the said Commissioners as stated in the said returns, is unfair and unjust and impartial, and is made to the manifest injury of the interest of these exceptant, and the cestui que [que] trust.

3. Because the proceedings are irregular and contrary to law.

4. Because the said Commissioners did not take into their considerations of partitions the improvements on said property.

5th. Because there is no return of survey, of number of acre