in the whole tract of land mentioned in the proceeding.
6th. Because of the Commissioners appraised land immediately adjacent at $4,600 per acre, and the adjoining land at $10,000, without stating any reason therefore, and no reason can be shown, and all of which is contrary to the evidence.
7. And for all other reasons to be filed at the hearing.

George H. Williams,
R. R. Boarman,
Atty., for Trustees.

Additional exceptions filed to the return of Commissioners in the above case.
8th. Because the partition was made by the Commissioners under the order of the Court, which order the Court had no authority to make, and the same was illegal and void.
9. Because the said Commissioners did not partition and divide the real estate in accordance with the directions of said order of the Court.

R. R. Boarman,
George H. Williams,
Atty. for Trustees.

Objection by the Mayor and City Council.
(Filed Dec. 1st, 1885.)

Presstman, Infant, &c.
vs.
Dugan, et al.
} In the Ct. Ct. for Balto. Co., in Equity.

To the Hon'l. the Judges of the Circuit Court for Baltimore county, Sitting in Equity:
The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a defendant, by H. F. Wharton, its solicitor, respectfully objects to the

final ratification of the return and award of the Commissioners in partition, filed 31st October, 1885, for the following reasons:
1st. Because there is not sufficient evidence to determine the necessary parties and their respective interests. Nothing can be taken as conceded or admitted, everything must be strickly proven when there is an infant party.
2nd. Because the title to a portion of the whole tract of land to be divided is disputed by strangers to this cause and by this defendant relied upon.
3rd. Because the records of this Court disclose serious doubts in regard to the legal title to part of the whole land to be divided, and this Court ought not to proceed to ratify the award of the Commissioners until they are judicially determined and removed.
4th. Because this cloud upon the title cannot be removed without making new parties to this proceeding, or by referring it to a Common Law Court for trial. Before that is done it would not be fair and equitable to partition among the present parties.
5th. Because the allotments are not proper, and the valuations grossly excessive.
6th. And for other reasons to be assigned at the hearing.

Bernard Carter,
Sol. for M. & C. C. of B.
H. F. Wharton
Solicitor for the Mayor and City Council of Balto., Deft.

Order
(Filed Jany. 3d, 1888.)