October Term, 1888.

Emma Presstman, an Infant &c.
vs.
Cumberland Dugan, et als.
} In the Circuit Court for Baltimore County in Equity.

The Court of Appeals of Maryland, by its decretal order, dated the 15th day of December, 1887, having dismissed the bill of review in the case of Spence vs. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al., therefore it is ordered, this 30th day of January, 1888, that the order bearing dated the 2nd day of June, 1886, staying proceedings in this case, be and the same is hereby rescinded.

David Fowler.

Exception.
(Filed Mch. 10th, 1888.)

Emma Presstman, an Infant, &c.
vs.
Cumberland Dugan, et als.
} In the Circuit Court for Baltimore County in Equity.

Emma Presstman, an infant, by her next friend in this case, adopts the exceptions of the trustees, Dugan and Lyman, filed in this case to the return of the Commissioners made in this case Oct. 31st. 1885.

And further excepts, for the reason that the valuation placed upon the land mentioned in the proceedings is contrary to the evidence adduced before the Commissioners.

A. H. Robertson
Atty. for Plaintiff.

Exception
(Filed Mch. 10th, 1888)

October Term, 1888.

Presstman, Infant, &c.
vs.
Dugan, et. al.
} In the Circuit Court of Baltimore Co. in Equity.

The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, for additional exception to the ratification of the return of the Commissioners, filed Oct. 31, 1885, say:

1. That the decree of sale made by the Court in the case of Spence vs. the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, referred to in the bill of complaint in this cause, was null and void, and the Court was without jurisdiction in the premises, as shown in the said proceedings, which are hereby made part of these exceptions.

2. This exceptant hereby relies upon the allegations in the answer of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, filed in the case of Emma Presstman, infant, vs. Cumberland Dugan, on Dec. 21, '85, and which is hereby incorporated herein, for cause against the ratification of said return.

Bernard Carter,
Sol. for M. & C. C. of Balt.

Agreement of Counsel.
(Filed Mch. 10th, 1888.)

Presstman,
vs.
Dugan, et, al.
} In the Circuit Court of Balto. County.

It is agreed that the case of Spence, et al., vs. the Mayor and City Council and others, be used as evidence in this cause, and shall be incorporated with the record in this case, as if the same and all the evidence had been taken therein without the necessity of repeating the same, or that the record of said case, now in the