him I thought the property was going down, but put no estimate on it; I told Mr. Bond that I held a wheat note against Mr. Condon, and that Mr. Thomas advised me to get a judgment, but told me he held a bill of sale on his personal property, and I said that it would not pay me to go to any expense to collect the note.

Re-Examination.

1st int. You have sworn, on your cross-examination, that the Buckley property is worth $30 per acre, and the Buckingham property $25 or $27 per acre; and that the Condon property was worth $45 per acre; now please explain why you think the Condon property is worth the difference between $30 per acre, the estimate you put on the Buckley property, and the $45 you put on the Condon property?

Answer. First, the difference in the location of the property - the Condon property is beautifully located, with good roads to the turnpike at Funkstown; it is within one mile of two stations on the Western Md. Railroad; secondly, it is in a good state of cultivation, with very little waste or rough land on it; the buildings are in good condition, and the property is in good shape to be divided; as to the Buckley property: the buildings are in bad condition; the land is rough, or a great portion of it; and it is not in as good condition to farm; there is a great deal of wet land on it; it is a mile further from the r.r. station; the location is not nearly so desirable as the other.

2nd int. You were asked if property was advertised

and offered at public sale, whether the price that would bring at public sale was not the best test of its value; now please state what you meant by saying that it was a fair test?

Answer. I meant that properties are often offered at public sale, and withdrawn because they did not get what the parties interested thought they were worth, and were often sold afterwards at private sale for more than was bid at public sale.

3rd int. Don't you think the Condon property at $45.00 per acre would be cheaper than the Griffith property at $20.75 per acre?

(Objected to by defts. solicitor.)

Answer. I believe the Condon property cheaper at $45 per acre than the Griffith property at $20.75.

4th int. Is there or not an opinion in the neighborhood that the Buckley property and the Buckingham property are involved in litigation, between the heirs interested; and does that or not interfere with their sale?

(Question objected to.)

Answer. To the best of my judgment there is; I think that it does interfere with the sale of said property.

(Ans. objected to.)

Both sides having closed, the Examiner then put to deponent the following int.

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing which may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this your examination, or the