which they have lost by occasion of the said Decree and that the said William F. Waters and Mary A. Waters may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth; and thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said William F. Waters and Mary A. Waters join in the errors by the said Henry C. Roche and others above assigned in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, or Decree by the Court of Appeals here, will be rendered against them in defaulet thereof; and the said William F. Waters and Mary A. Waters by their Attorney aforesaid, say there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Henry C. Roche and others above for error assigned, and that the said Decree may be in all things affirmed, and so forth; thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid is, by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such cases made and provided, continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in January next; the same day is given to the said parties there, then to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth.

And now at this day, to wit, the said second Monday in January, being the 13th day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorney

aforesaid.

Whereupon as well the Record and Proceedings and the Decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said Henry C. Roche and others above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had, for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid. Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the Decree aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed, and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in anywise, notwithstanding. And afterwards to wit, on the nineteenth day of March 1890 the Court of Appeals filed the following Decree viz:

Henry C. Roche, Laura S. Roche and Helen Presstman and George Presstman, by John S. Tyson, their Legal Guardian, vs. William Francis Waters, and Mary Augusta Waters.	Court of Appeals of Maryland. January Term, 1890.

The Appeal in this case standing ready for hearing, was argued by Counsel for the respective parties, and the proceedings have since been considered by the Court.