often been appointed commissioner to divide different estates.

(Objected to.)

2q. Please look at the paper now shown you, marked "Exhibit Schedule of Estate," which, according to the testimony, embraces all the property of the late Andrew Popplein, deceased; and state whether, in your opinion, that property is capable of partition between the parties entitled thereto, said parties in interest being entitled as follows: Thirty-one hundred and eighte to one, thirty-one hundred and eighte to eleven, twenty-nine, one hundred and eighte to four, and nineteen one hundred and eighte to two; give your reasons for your opinion, and state also whether, in your opinion, it will be for the interest and advantage of those so interested in said estate that it should be sold for the purpose of division, and state also about what is the value of said property?

(Objected to.)

Ans. I think it would be impossible to make a fair division of the property according to the respective interest, without a sale; I don't think there could be any question about its being for the interest and advantage of those entitled to sell the property; it is the only way to make a fair division of the estate; I value the real property at $223,687, and the personal property at about $20,000, and there being an indebtedness of $14,000, making the whole $257,687; I am familiar with the property embraced in the schedule; I have known it for many years; the two warehouses on Baltimore street,

which is about two-fifths of the estate, are occupied by one tenant with an opening through, and they would likely sell for more together than seperate; one person owing the property could put a stairway between the two, answering for both houses; the next large property is the twenty-seven and one-quarter acres of ground on Huntingdon avenue, which I think would sell for more in one piece than to cut it up; there is considerable cut and fill to be made, streets to be opened, and one person could make more out of it than by dividing it; some parts of this property are much more valuable than others; I value that 27¼ acres of ground, at $3,000 per acre, taking it entire, but some of it is worth three times as much as others.

(Objected to.)

To the question by the Examiner, witness answers: "Nothing more."

(Signing waived.)

J. G. Pitts,
Examiner.

John H. Hall, a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the petitioner, being by me first duly sworn on the Holy Evangely of Almighty God, deposeth and saith:

I reside at 1707 Madison ave.; President of the first National Bank and of the Consolidated Gas Company; I know nearly all the parties; I am one myself.

1q. Please look at the petition filed in this case by James Sloan, Jr., and others, and state whether