the parties therein named, are or not the only parties entitled to the estate of Andrew Popplein, deceased, and whether the interest of the several parties in said estate are properly set forth in said petition?

(Objected to.)

Ans. I believe the parties named in this petition are the only ones interested in the said estate, and I believe the various interest therein set forth are correct.

(Objected to.)

2 q. Please look at the paper now shown you, marked "Exhibit Schedule of Estate," and state whether you are familiar with the estate of Andrew Popplein, deceased, and whether said estate is embraced in and correctly set forth in said schedule, and also what, in your opinion, is about the entire value of the estate

(Objected to.)

Ans. I am familiar with a portion of the estate only; the valuation of the I have always understood to be in the neighborhood of $300,000; I believe this exhibit to be correct.

(Objected to.)

3 q. State whether, in your opinion, that estate is capable of partition among the parties entitled without sale, and if not, would it be to the interest and advantage of those interested therein that the same should be sold, and the proceeds of said sale be divided among those entitled, and state your reasons for your opinion?

(Objected to.)

Ans. In my judgment, the estate is not capable of division, and I think it would be to the interest of all parties that the property be sold, and the proceeds of sale be divided among the heirs; none of the heirs, with the exception of Mrs. Gibson, would have large enough interest to absorb the property on Baltimore street, or on Huntingdon ave, so that the estate would have to be sold in order to be divided; it is the desire of my wife and myself that the Safe Deposit and Trust Company should settle this estate, and I know that that desire is concurred in by all the Popplein children, except Andrew and Mary, (I don't know whether the latter concurs in it or not,) whose interest is only two-elevenths of thirty one hundred and eighths; the said company, in my judgment, is the proper party to sell and divide the estate, as it would do it for much less than any one else, in my judgment.

(Objected to.)

To the question by the Examiner, witness answers: Only that I desire a quick sale and prompt division of the assets.

(Signing waived.)

J. G. Pitts,
Examiner.

John H. Marshall, a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the petitioner, being by me first duly sworn, on the Holy Evangely of Almighty God, deposeth and saith:

I reside 424 E. Fow-send st.; Secretary of the Safe Deposit and Trust Company of Baltimore; I know