4th x inty. You have spoken of this property being sold within the year for forty one and forty three thousand dollars; when was it sold; to whom, by whom, and where did said sale take place?

Ans. The first sale was the sale of the fee simple, all right and title of Wm. C. Garitee in it, subject to a mortgage of $32,000.00 and mortgage of $6.000; Sheriff's sale equity of redemption of Wm. C. Garitee and for $140.00 in cash more than the mortgage on the property; Peter Brenan was the purchaser. 2nd sale was made by John Bennett, trustee, for $30,000.00, subject to a mortgage of $5,000.00 and one of $6.000.00; Hannah E. Garitee was the purchaser.

5th x inty. Who was present at last sale, other than Hannah E. Garitee?

Ans. No body.

6th x inty. Has Hannah E. Garitee paid the purchase money, $30,000.00?

Ans. No exceptions have been filed to sale by you (meaning Mr. Dawson).

7th x inty. What real estate do you own, and what real estate have you bought or sold within a year in the vicinity of this property?

Ans. I had an interest in the property in question, a mortgage on it; and I also had an interest in the "Snow Hill" farm, Snowden and Belair farms; as to vicinity of this property, none except the interest I had in this Willow Spring property.

8th x inty. Are you in the habit of dealing in real estate?

Ans. I have spent months at a time investigating the

value of property in this vicinity and this property itself.

9th x inty. Be good enough to tell us what revenues this land produced in the year 1885, 1886 and 1887?

Ans. None whatever, because my father refused to rent it.

10th x inty. How many brick were made and sold from said land in the years 1885, 1886, 1887 and 1888?

Ans. About 300,000 were made in about three months then father got sick.

11th x inty. These were all the brick made during those five years?

Ans. Yes.

12th x inty. You have known the property for a good many years; do you know anything in regard to a suit between your father and the Mayor and City Council of Balto. in reference to water front of this property; and if so, when was such suit tried; why was it brought, and what verdict, if any, was recovered by your father as plaintiff?

(Excepted to, unless records are produced, and other reasons.)

Ans. Suit was brought for damages resulting to a large tract of leasehold property adjoining the property now in question, and now belonging to Alexander Russell; the city dumped dirt, &c., all in front of the leasehold property, and filled up the front of it to a certain extent, lowered the depth of the water and cutting off the approach of steamboats to the wharf in front of it and other boats; stopped his business, (brick business;) the excursions that came to the grove on the fee-