Attornies aforesaid and thereupon the said cause is further continued until next Court according to Act of Assembly At which said next Court to wit the day of April Anno Domini 1776 come again the said parties plaintiff and defendant by their Attornies aforesaid and thereupon the said cause is further continued until next Court according to Act of Assembly At which said next Court to wit the day of September Anno Domini 1776 come again the said parties plaintiff and defendant by their Attornies aforesaid and thereupon the said cause is further continued until next Court according to Act of Assembly At which said next Court to wit the day of April Anno Domini 1777 come again the said Parties Plaintiff and Defendant by their Attornies aforesaid and thereupon the said cause is further continued until next Court according to Act of Assembly At which said next Court to wit the day of September Anno Domini 1777 come again the said parties plaintiff and defendant by their Attornies aforesaid and thereupon the said cause is further continued until next Court according to Act of Assembly At which said next Court to wit the 12th day of May Anno Domini 1778 come again the said parties plaintiff and defendant by their Attornies aforesaid and thereupon the said cause is further continued until next Court according to Act of Assembly At which said next Court to wit the 13th day of October Anno Domini 1778 come again the said Parties plaintiff and defendant by their Attornies aforesaid and thereupon the said Cause is further continued until next Court according to Act of Assembly At which said next Court to wit the 11th day of May Anno Domini 1779 come again the said Parties plaintiff and defendant by their Attornies aforesaid and thereupon the said Cause is further continued until next Court according to Act of Assembly At which said next Court to wit the 12th day of October Anno Domini 1779 come again the said parties plaintiff and defendant by their Attornies aforesaid and thereupon the said cause is further continued until next Court according to Act of Assembly At which said next Court to wit the 22d day of May Anno Domini 1780 to which day May Term 1780 by an Act of Assembly for that purpose made was adjourned come again the said parties plaintiff and defendant by their Attornies aforesaid and thereupon the said cause is further continued until next Court according to Act of Assembly At which said next Court to wit the 10th day of October 1780 come again the said parties Plaintiff and Defendant by their Attornies aforesaid and thereupon the said cause is further continued until next Court according to Act of Assembly At which said next Court to wit the 8th day of May Anno Domini 1781 come again the said parties plaintiff and defendant by their Attornies aforesaid and thereupon the said cause is further continued until next Court according to Act of Assembly At which said next Court to wit the 9th day of October Anno Domini 1781 comes again as well the said James Russell by Thomas Jennings his Attorney as the said Stephen West by John Mall his Attorney and the said Stephen West by his Attorney aforesaid offers himself ready against the said James Russell in the plea aforesaid but the said James Russell altho solemnly called comes not but makes default nor does he further prosecute his writ aforesaid against the aforesaid Stephen West in the plea aforesaid Therefore it is considered by the Judges here that the said James Russell take nothing by his writ aforesaid but be in mercy for his



false clamour and that the said Stephen West go thereof without day &c. And it is also considered that the said Stephen West recover against the said James Russell the sum of pounds of Tobacco by the Court here on his absent adjudged for his Costs and charges by him about his defence in this behalf laid out and Expended and that he have thereof his Execution &c.

Test Thos. B. Hodgkin Cl.

G John Glassford & Co. a
JR William Greenfield } Command was given to the Sheriff of Prince Georges County that he should take William Trueman Greenfield late of Prince Georges County Gentleman Mary Greenfield late of Prince Georges County Spinster Sarah Greenfield late of Prince Georges County Spinster and Patrick Smith late of Prince Georges County Gentleman otherwise called William Trueman Greenfield Mary Greenfield and Sarah Greenfield of Prince Georges County and Patrick Smith of Calvert County if they should be found in his Bailiwick and them safe keep so that he might have their bodies before the Justices of our Provincial Court to be held at Annapolis the second Tuesday of September next to answer unto John Glassford and Company of a plea that they render unto them seven hundred and fifty pounds eleven shillings and six pence Current money and thirteen pounds fifteen shillings Sterling which to them they owe and unjustly detain and so forth and that thereof he should not fail at his peril and that he should have then and there that writ &c.

And the said John Glassford and Company by Thomas Johnson Junior their Attorney declared against the said William Truman Greenfield Mary Greenfield Sarah Greenfield and Patrick Smith in the plea aforesaid as follows to wit. Maryland to wit William Trueman Greenfield late of Prince Georges County Gentleman Mary Greenfield late of Prince Georges County Spinster Sarah Greenfield late of Prince Georges County Spinster and Patrick Smith late of Prince Georges County Gentleman otherwise called William Trueman Greenfield Mary Greenfield and Sarah Greenfield of Prince Georges County and Patrick Smith of Calvert County were summoned to answer unto John Glassford and Company of a plea that they render unto them seven hundred and fifty pounds eleven shillings and six pence Current money and thirteen pounds fifteen Shillings Sterling which to them they owe and unjustly detain and so forth And whereupon the said John Glassford and Company by Thomas Johnson Junior their Attorney say that whereas the aforesaid William Trueman Mary Sarah and Patrick on the second day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Seventy three at Prince Georges aforesaid by their certain writing obligatory with the Seals of them the said William Trueman Mary Sarah and Patrick Sealed and to the Court now here shewn whose date is the same day and year acknowledged themselves to be held and firmly bound unto them the said John Glassford and Company in the aforesaid seven hundred and fifty pounds eleven shillings and six pence Current money and thirteen pounds fifteen Shillings Sterling to be paid to the said John Glassford and Company when afterwards they should be thereunto required Nevertheless the aforesaid William Trueman Mary Sarah and Patrick although often thereunto required the aforesaid Sums of money or either of them or any part of either of them rendered or paid but the same to the aforesaid John Glassford and Company hitherto to render or pay they have hitherto altogether refused and still do refuse wherefore they say they are the worse and have damage to the value