Charles Carroll of Carrollton
against
Thomas Colegate

Be it remembered, that heretofore, to wit, on the fourteenth day of March in the year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and eighty seven, the said Thomas Colegate by Luther Martin his Attorney sued out of the General Court here, the State of Maryland's writ of Habeas Corpus, directed to the Justices and Sheriff of Baltimore County in the words and figures following, to wit —

The State of Maryland Sc. To the Justices and Sheriff of Baltimore County Greeting. Whereas Thomas Colegate is detained in the prison of our said County under the Custody of you our said Sheriff at the Suit of a certain Charles Carroll of Carrollton in a plea of Debt and so forth, which said plea still remains before you our said Justices undetermined as it is said and we being willing for divers causes that the plea aforesaid undetermined as aforesaid should be heard and determined before the Judges of our General Court Do therefore Command you that you have the Body of the said Thomas Colegate by whatsoever named he be called in the plea aforesaid together with the day and cause of his caption, and all things thereunto relating before the Judges of our General Court to be held at the City of Annapolis on the second Tuesday of May next that our said Judges being rightly informed of the truth of the premises may further proceed therein as to Justice appertains and so forth and have you there and there this writ — witness Robert Hanson Harrison Esquire Chief Judge of our said Court the second day of December Anno Dominii 1780.

Issued 14th March 1787 — (Sollicitor) Thos B. Hodgkin Cl.

And now here at this day to wit, the said second Tuesday of May being the eighth day of the same Month, in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty seven, and the day of the return of the aforegoing writ the Justices and Sheriff of Baltimore County to whom the same writ was made and directed in pursuance thereof, transmitted to the Court here, the following Record, to wit —

Baltimore County, to wit: At a County Court of the State of Maryland held at the Court House in Baltimore Town in and for the County aforesaid, the Monday before the first Tuesday in March in the year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and eighty seven —

Present

John Moale	Thomas Rutsell	Thomas Todd	Gent. Justices
Benjamin Rogers	William Rutsell	Lyde Goodwin	
George J. Presbury	Thomas Elliott	Edmund Stansbury	
Isaac Vickers	John Coulter	Henry Sanott	
Abraham Vickers			

Philip Graybell Esquire Sheriff — William Gibson Clerk —

Among other were the following Proceedings Viz.

Charles Carroll of Carrollton
against
Thomas Colegate

Be it remembered, that heretofore, on the first day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty six, The State of Maryland's writ of Capias Ad respondendum issued to the Sheriff of Baltimore County in the words and figures following Viz.

State of Maryland, To the Sheriff of Baltimore County, Greeting. We command you that you take Thomas Colegate late of Baltimore County Farmer otherwise called Thomas Colegate of Baltimore County if he shall be found in your bailiwick and that him so taken you safe keep so that you have his Body before the Justices of Baltimore County Court at the Court House in the same County the Monday before the first Tuesday in August next, to answer unto Charles Carroll of Carrollton of a plea that he render unto him the sum of One hundred and twelve pounds Current money which to him he owes and unjustly detains and so forth — Hereof fail not at your peril and have you then there this writ witness Benjamin Rogers Gentleman presiding Justice of our said Court the first day of April in the year of our Lord Seventeen hundred and eighty six — N.B. Issued 1st day of May 1786 —

Wm Gibson Clerk —

With which writ the following Mar and Bond was sent Viz.

Baltimore County, to wit: Thomas Colegate late of Baltimore County Farmer, otherwise called Thomas Colegate of Baltimore County was summoned to answer unto Charles Carroll of Carrollton of a plea that he render unto him the sum of One hundred and twelve pounds Current money which to him he owes and unjustly detains and so forth — And whereupon the said Charles by Richard Ridgely his attorney saith that whereas the aforesaid Thomas on the third day of April, in the year seventeen hundred and seventy five at Baltimore County aforesaid by his certain writing Obligatory acknowledged himself to be held and firmly bound unto the said Charles in the aforesaid sum of One hundred and twelve pounds Current Money to be paid to the said Charles when afterwards he should be thereunto required — Nevertheless the aforesaid Thomas, although often thereunto required the aforesaid sum of Current Money to the said Charles hath not rendered or paid but the same to him hitherto to render or pay he hath altogether refused and still doth refuse — Wherefore he saith he is the worse and hath damage to the value of One hundred pounds Current Money aforesaid and therefore he brings suit and so forth and brings here into Court the writing Obligatory aforesaid sealed with the seal of the aforesaid Thomas which the