by way of attachment according to act of Assembly and so forth.

Therefore for that it appears to the Court here, that the said David Carcaud has complied with the law as alledged, he having made sufficient proof of his Damages to the Court here.

Therefore it is considered by the Judges here, that the said David Carcaud recover against the said William Molleson surviving partner as aforesaid, as well the sum of seven hundred and nineteen pounds, eighteen shillings and seven pence currentmoney his damages aforesaid, as also all costs, and charges by the said David Carcaud laid out and expended about his suit, by way of attachment against the goods and chattels, rights and credits, lands and tenements of the said William Molleson surviving partner as aforesaid, according to the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided and so forth

Memorandum, Judgment was rendered in this cause on the twenty second day of May Anno Domini one thousand seven hundred and eighty nine.

Test John Gwinn Clk.

John Cockey.
against
Mark Alexander Job Garretson and Robert Saunders.

Be it remembered that heretofore towit on the eighteenth day of September Anno Domini one thousand seven hundred and eighty six, The Plaintiff by Luther Martin his attorney sued out of our General Court here the state of Maryland's writ of Capias ad respondendum directed to the Sheriff of Baltimore county in the words and figures following towit

The State of Maryland Sc. To the Sheriff of Baltimore county Greeting. We command you that you take Mark Alexander, Job Garretson, and Robert Saunders late of Baltimore county Gentleman, otherwise called Mark Alexander, Job Garretson of Baltimore county and Robert Saunders of Harford county, if they shall be found in your bailiwick, and them safe keep, so that you have their bodies before the Judges of our General Court, to be held at the city of Annapolis on the second tuesday of October next, to answer unto John Cockey of a plea that they render unto him the sum of one thousand pounds currentmoney which to him they owe and unjustly detain and so forth. Hereof fail not at your peril, and have you then and there this writ Witness Robert Hanson Harrison Esquire, chief Judge of our said Court the 19th day of June Anno Domini 1786.

Thos B. Hodgkin Clk

Issued the 18th day of September 1786. (L Martin)

And a duplicate of the aforegoing writ was likewise made and sent to the sheriff of Harford county.

At which said second tuesday of October towit, the tenth day of the same month Anno Domini one thousand seven hundred and eighty six, being the day of the return of the aforegoing writ, comes here into our General Court, the said John Cockey, by his attorney aforesaid, and the sheriff of Baltimore county to whom the same writ was made and directed, likewise comes, and makes return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed towit

N.E. Saunders. Copi. the rest. P. Graybell. Shff

And the sheriff of Harford county to whom the same duplicate of the writ aforesaid was made and directed, likewise comes, and makes return thereof to the Court here thus

thus endorsed towit

N.S. Robt Amos Shff.

And the said Mark Alexander and Job Garretson by Richard Ridgely and Francis Cutler their attornies, come and defend the force and injury when and so forth, and pray leave of the Court to imparle hereunto until next Court, and they have it, and the same day is given to the said Plaintiff also.

At which said next Court towit, the second tuesday of May, being the eighth day of the same month Anno Domini one thousand seven hundred and eighty seven, come again here into our General Court as well the said John Cockey by his attorney aforesaid as the said Mark Alexander & Job Garretson by their attornies aforesaid. And the said Mark Alexander and Job Garretson by their attornies aforesaid as before defend the force and injury when and so forth, and pray further leave of the Court to imparle hereunto until next Court, and they have it, and the same day is given to the said Plaintiff also.

At which said next Court towit, the second tuesday of October being the ninth day of the same month Anno Domini one thousand seven hundred and eighty seven, come again here into our General Court, as well the said John Cockey by his attorney aforesaid, as the said Mark Alexander and Job Garretson by their attornies aforesaid. And the said Mark Alexander and Job Garretson by their attornies aforesaid as before defend the force and injury when and so forth and pray further leave of the Court to imparle hereunto until next Court, and they have it, and the same day is given to the said Plaintiff also.

At which said next Court towit, the second tuesday of May being the thirteenth day of the same month Anno Domini one thousand seven hundred and eighty eight, come again here into our General Court, as well the said John Cockey by his attorney aforesaid, as the said Mark Alexander and Job Garretson by their attornies aforesaid. And the said John Cockey by his attorney aforesaid declared against the said Mark Alexander, Job Garretson and Robert Saunders in the plea aforesaid as follows towit

Maryland, Baltimore county towit: Mark Alexander, Job Garretson and Robert Saunders late of Baltimore county gentlemen, otherwise called Mark Alexander, Job Garretson of Baltimore county and Robert Saunders of Harford county, were summoned to answer unto John Cockey of a plea that they render unto him the sum of one thousand pounds currentmoney which to him they owe and unjustly detain, and so forth. And whereupon the said John Cockey by Luther Martin their attorney say that whereas the aforesaid Mark, Job, and Robert on the twenty fifth day of May in the year seventeen hundred and eighty four at Baltimore county aforesaid, by their certain writing obligatory acknowledged themselves to be held and firmly bound unto the said John Cockey in the aforesaid sum of one thousand pounds currentmoney, to be paid to the said John Cockey when afterwards they should be thereunto required: Nevertheless the aforesaid Mark, Job, and Robert (although often thereunto required) the aforesaid sum of one thousand pounds currentmoney, to the said John Cockey have not rendered or paid, but the same to him hitherto to render or pay they have altogether refused, and still do refuse, wherefore he saith he is the worse and hath damage to the value of five hundred pounds currentmoney aforesaid, and therefore he brings suit, and so forth: And brings here into Court the writing obligatory aforesaid sealed with the seals of the aforesaid Mark, Job, and Robert which the debt aforesaid, in form aforesaid witnesseth, the date whereof is the day and year aforesaid, and so forth.

Luther Martin, for the Plaintiff. Pledges and so forth. John Doe and Richard Roe.

(And