said Amos Loney) by his attorney aforesaid; and the sheriff of Harford county to whom the said writ was directed, likewise comes and makes return thereof to the Court here, thus endorsed towit,

Cepi, copy left 26. septr. 1787. R. Amoss sheriff

And the said Francis Baker being called, appears and at the prayer of the said Amos Loney by his attorney aforesaid, the said Francis Baker is adjudged to give special bail to the action aforesaid: Whereupon a certain James Giles of Harford county, present in Court here, in his proper person and undertakes for the said Francis Baker, that in case the said Amos Loney shall recover judgment against the said Francis Baker in the plea aforesaid, or that the said Francis Baker shall be legally convict therein that then the said Francis Baker shall pay the condemnation of the Court thereon, or render his body to the custody of the sheriff of Harford County in satisfaction thereof, or that he the said James Giles will do the same for him And the said Francis Baker by Aquila Hall his attorney, defends the force and injury when &c. and prays leave of the Court here to imparle until the second tuesday in May next, to him it is granted: and the same day is given to the said Amos Loney also.

At which said second tuesday in May towit, the thirteenth day of the same month anno domini one thousand seven hundred and eighty eight comes again into our General Court here, as well the said Amos Loney by his attorney aforesaid, as the said Francis Baker by his attorney aforesaid And the said Francis Baker by his attorney aforesaid, as before, defends the force and injury when &c. and prays further leave of the Court here to imparle until the second tuesday in October next, to him it is granted and the same day is given to the said Amos Loney also.

At which said second tuesday in October towit, the fourteenth day of the same month anno domini one thousand seven hundred and eighty eight, comes again into our General Court here as well the said Amos Loney by his attorney aforesaid as the said Francis Baker by his attorney aforesaid. And the said Francis Baker by his attorney aforesaid, as before defends the force and injury when &c. and saith that the said Amos Loney his action aforesaid thereof against him the said Francis Baker to have or maintain ought not, because he saith that he the said Francis Baker before the impetration of the original writ in this cause paid unto the said Amos Loney the said sum of money with legal interest thereon, according to the form and effect of the condition aforesaid: and this he is ready to verify: wherefore he prays Judgment if the said Amos Loney his action aforesaid thereof against him to have or maintain ought &c.

And the said Amos Loney saith, that he by any thing by the said Francis Baker above alleged from having or maintaining his action aforesaid, ought not to be precluded, because he saith, that the said Francis Baker did not pay to him the said Amos Loney the said sum of money, with legal interest thereon, which the said Francis Baker to the said Amos Long ought to have paid according to the

the form and effect of the said Condition, as the said Francis Baker above against him alleged: and of this he prays may be enquired by the Country: And the said Francis Baker in like manner &c. Therefore let a Jury thereon come before the Court here, by whom &c. and who neither &c. to recognize &c. because as well &c. on the second tuesday in May next, and the same day is given to the parties aforesaid, then and there &c.

And now here on this day towit, the said second tuesday in May, being the twelfth day of the same month anno domini one thousand seven hundred and eighty nine, comes again into our General Court here as well the said Amos Loney by his attorney aforesaid, as the said Francis Baker by his attorney aforesaid; And the said Francis Baker by his attorney aforesaid, relinquishing the averment aforesaid, by him above in pleading alleged, and saith, that he cannot deny the action aforesaid of the said Amos Loney so as aforesaid against him brought, nor but that he owes to the said Amos Loney the sum of three hundred and fifty six pounds eight shillings and ten pence current money in such manner and form as the said Amos Loney above against him declared; Therefore it is considered by the Judges of the Court here, that the said Amos Loney recover against the said Francis Baker as well the sum of three hundred and fifty six pounds eight shillings and ten pence current money his Debt aforesaid, in form aforesaid confessed, as the quantity of seven hundred and thirty three and two fifths pounds of tobacco, which is adjudged by the Judges of the Court here, unto him the said Amos Loney on his assent, as well for his damages, which he sustained by occasion of the detention of that debt, as for his costs and charges by him about his suit in this behalf laid out and expended: and that the said Francis Baker be in mercy &c.

Memorandum, Judgment was rendered in this cause on the nineteenth day of May anno domini one thousand seven hundred and eighty nine, for penalty and costs of suit, to be released on the payment of the principal interest and costs. and the Plaintiff agrees to stay Execution, until the first day of August next; payments if any, to be allowed.

Test John Gwinn Clk.

Thomas Loker.
against
William Richardson

Be it remembered that heretofore towit, on the ninth day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty seven. Thomas Loker by John Allen Thomas his attorney sued out of our General Court, the State of Maryland's writ of Capias adrespondendum directed to the sheriff of Saint Mary's county in the words and figures towit,

The State of Maryland Sct. To the sheriff of Saint Mary's county, Greeting we command you that you take William Richardson late of Saint Mary's county planter, if he shall be found in your Bailiwick, and him safe keep, so that you have his body before the Judges of our General Court to be held at the city of Annapolis on the second tuesday of May next, to answer unto Thomas

Loker