on her assent adjudged for her costs and charges by her about her suit in this behalf laid out and expended. and that the said Rebecca Ridgely the Defendant be in mercy &c.

Memorandum, Judgment was rendered in this cause on the twenty fifth day of ~~May~~ Anno domini one thousand seven hundred and eighty nine. for Partition agreeable to Nar.

Test. Jno Gwinn Clk.

Rebecca Ridgely against Dorothy Ridgely.

Western Shore of Maryland, Baltimore county Sct. Rebecca Ridgely widow who was the wife of Charles Ridgely son of John deceased Demands against Dorothy Ridgely the third part of a tract of land situate in Ann arundel county called purchased of Ely Dorsey by the said Charles in his lifetime, containing acres more or less, with the appurtenances as her Dower of the Endowment of her said Husband whereof she hath nothing &c.

D. McMechen for Demand.

And now here on this day to wit, the second tuesday in May being the twelfth day of the same month Anno domini seventeen hundred and eighty nine, comes into our General Court here the said Dorothy Ridgely by Richard Ridgely her Guardian, who is especially admitted by the Court here to Defend for the said Dorothy Ridgely in the action aforesaid, who is under the age of twenty one years; And the said Dorothy Ridgely by her Guardian aforesaid, defend the force and injury, when &c. and saith, that she cannot deny the action aforesaid of the said Rebecca Ridgely so as aforesaid against her brought, nor but that the said Rebecca Ridgely ought to have her Dower of the third part of a tract of land situate in Ann arundel county called purchased of Ely Dorsey by the said Charles in his life time, containing acres more or less, with the appurtenances in the Declaration aforesaid mentioned: Therefore it is considered by the Judges of the Court here that the said Rebecca Ridgely recover against the said Dorothy Ridgely her seisin of the third part of a tract of land situate in Ann arundel county called purchased of Ely Dorsey by the said Charles in his life time, containing acres more or less with the appurtenances in her Demand aforesaid specified; And it is also considered that the said Rebecca Ridgely recover against the said Dorothy Ridgely, the quantity of pounds of tobacco, by the Court here unto her on her assent, adjudged for her costs and charges by her about her suit in this behalf laid out and expended; And the Defendant in mercy &c.

Memorandum, Judgment was rendered in this cause on the 25th day of June 1789. for partition agreeable to Nar.

Test. Jno Gwinn Clk.

Rebecca Ridgely against Rachel Ridgely & Rebecca Ridgely.

Western Shore of Maryland, Baltimore county Sct. Rebecca Ridgely widow who was the wife of Charles Ridgely son of John deceased by David McMechen her attorney Demands against Rachel Ridgely and Rebecca Ridgely the third part of a tract of land situate in Ann arundel county, called White Wine & Claret containing acres more or less with the appurtenances as her Dower of the Endowment of her said Husband, whereof she hath nothing &c.

D. McMechen pro Demand.

And now here on this day to wit, the second tuesday in May being the twelfth day of the same month Anno domini one thousand seven hundred and eighty nine, comes into our General Court here the said Rachel Ridgely and Rebecca Ridgely by Richard Ridgely their Guardian, who is especially admitted by the Court here to defend for the said Rachel Ridgely and Rebecca Ridgely in the action aforesaid, who is under the age of twenty one years; And the said Rachel Ridgely and Rebecca Ridgely by their Guardian as aforesaid, defend the force and injury when &c. and say that they cannot deny the action aforesaid of the said Rebecca Ridgely so as aforesaid against them brought, nor but that the said Rebecca Ridgely ought to have her Dower of the third part of a tract of land situate in Ann arundel county called White Wine & Claret containing acres more or less with the appurtenances in the Declaration aforesaid mentioned. Therefore it is considered by the Judges of the Court here that the said Rebecca Ridgely recover against the said Rachel Ridgely and Rebecca Ridgely their seisin of the third part of a tract of land situate in Ann arundel county called White Wine & Claret containing acres more or less, with the appurtenances in their Demand aforesaid specified; And it is also considered, that the said Rebecca Ridgely recover against the said Rachel Ridgely and Rebecca Ridgely, the quantity of pounds of tobacco, by the Court here unto her on her assent adjudged for her costs and charges by her about her suit in this behalf laid out and expended; And the Defendants in mercy &c.

Memorandum, Judgment was rendered in this cause on the 25th day of June 1789. for partition agreeable to Nar.

Test. Jno Gwinn Clk.

James Ringgold against William Brown.

Debt. Writ issued the 7th day of April 1789. and sent with Declaration and Bond to the sheriff of Ann arundel county. Return Capi – Benjamin Howard sheriff.

Therefore it is considered by the Judges of the Court here, that the said James Ringgold recover against the said William Brown as well the sum of one