John Steinmetz
against
William Hammond
Garnee of James De Drusina Vals

Same proceedings as against Robert Young folio 195.

And now at this same second tuesday of October in this same term comes again here into Court the aforesaid John Steinmetz by David McMechen his said Attorney as the said William Hammond by William Cooke his Attorney aforesaid and the said William Hammond by his Attorney aforesaid by and with the consent of the said John Steinmetz and his Attorney aforesaid says that he cannot deny but that he has in his hands of the Goods and Chattles Rights and Credits of the aforesaid James De Drusina Julius Conrod Ridder and James Clerk to the sum of Four hundred pounds Current Money of Maryland and that the said John Steinmetz ought to have his Condemnation thereof. Thereupon the said John Steinmetz by his Attorney aforesaid prays that the aforesaid sum of Four hundred pounds Current Money by the said William Hammond in his hands confessed may be condemned as of the Goods and Chattles Rights and Credits of the said James De Drusina Julius Conrod Ridder and James Clerk towards further satisfying unto him the said John Steinmetz as well his damages in the Writ of Attachment aforesaid specified as for his Costs and charges accruing by occasion of the premises aforesaid

Therefore it is considered by the Judges here that upon the said John Steinmetz giving security according to the Act of the Assembly in that case made and provided the aforesaid sum of Four hundred pounds Current Money aforesaid so as aforesaid Attached in the hands of the said William Hammond be condemned as of the Goods and Chattles Rights and Credits of the said James De Drusina Julius Conrod Ridder and James Clerk towards satisfying unto the said John Steinmetz as well his damages in the Writ of Attachment aforesaid specified as for his Costs and charges accruing by Occasion of the premises. And that he have thereof his Execution &c

Memorandum the plaintiff agrees to stay Execution until the first day of April next.

Test. John Green Clk

Samuel Hughes Assignee of Fielder Bowie
against
Leonard Hollyday Junr.

Be it Remembered that heretofore Court on the fifteenth day of August seventeen hundred and eighty eight the plaintiff by Richard Potts his Attorney sued out of our General Court here the State of Maylands Writ of Capias ad respondendum directed

to the Sheriff of Prince Georges County in the words and figures following Court

The State of Maryland &c. To the Sheriff of Prince Georges County Greeting We command you that you take Leonard Holliday junior late of Prince Georges County Gentleman, otherwise called Leonard Holliday junior of Prince Georges County if he shall be found in your Bailiwick and him safe keep so that you have his body before the Judges of our General Court to be held at the City of Annapolis on the second tuesday of October next to answer unto Samuel Hughes Assignee according to the form of the Act of Assembly in such case lately made and provided of Fielder Bowie of a plea that he render unto him the sum of One hundred and ninety one pounds five Shillings and six pence Current Money which to him he owes and from him unjustly detains and so forth hereof fail not at your peril and have you then and there this Writ. Witness Robert Hanson Harrison Esquire Chief Judge of our said Court the 14th day of June Anno Domini 1788.

John Green Clk

Issued the 15. day of August 1788. R. Potts,

And the said Samuel Hughes Assignee as aforesaid by Richard Potts his said Attorney declared against the said Leonard Holliday junior in the plea aforesaid as follows Court.

Western Shore of Maryland and Prince Georges County Court

Leonard Holliday junior late of Prince Georges County Gentleman otherwise called Leonard Holliday junior of Prince Georges County was summoned to answer unto Samuel Hughes Assignee according to the form of the Act of Assembly in such case lately made and provided of Fielder Bowie of a plea that he render unto him the sum of One hundred and ninety one pounds five Shillings and six pence Current Money which to him he owes and from him unjustly detains and so forth. And whereupon the said Samuel Hughes by Richard Potts his Attorney saith that whereas the said Leonard Holliday on the twelfth day of July in the year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and Ninety six at prince Georges County aforesaid by his certain Writing Obligatory acknowledged himself to be held and firmly bound unto the said Fielder Bowie in the sum of One hundred and Ninety one pounds five Shillings and six pence to be paid to the said Fielder Bowie when afterwards he should be thereunto required the same Fielder Bowie afterwards Court On the thirtieth day of June One thousand seven hundred and eighty seven at the County aforesaid first having made Oath before Rinaldo Johnson then one of the Justices of Prince Georges County Court that he had received no part or parcel of the sum due by the said Writing Obligatory security or satisfaction for the same and the full sum expressed in the Condition of the said Writing Obligatory with legal Interest thereon was then justly due and owing to him by a Writing upon the said Writing Obligatory