aforesaid in the writ of scire facias aforesaid mentioned according to the force form and effect of the recovery aforesaid to him to be adjudged &c. Therefore it is considered by the court here that the said State of Maryland have its execution against the same Simon Nicholls. Thomas Nicholls senior Simon Ruder and Thomas Nicholls of John. for as well the debt damages costs and charges aforesaid in the writ of scire facias aforesaid mentioned according to the form and effect of the recovery aforesaid as the quantity of five hundred and twenty five pounds of tobacco for his costs and charges by him sustained by the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by the default of the said Simon Nicholls. Thomas Nicholls senior. Simon Ruder and Thomas Nicholls of John and the said Simon Nicholls. Thomas Nicholls senior Simon Ruder and Thomas Nicholls of John in mercy &c.

Test Jno. Quinn clerk.

James Brown of Robert
vs
Brice Howard.

Be it remembered that now here on the second tuesday of May being the thirteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty eight. The Worshipfull Justices of Ann Arundel county court in pursuance of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided transmit to the general court here the record and proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the said county court between Brice Howard Plaintiff and James Brown of Robt. defendant and wherein the said county court gave Judgment for the said Brice Howard against the said James Brown of Robert and from which said Judgment the said James Brown prayed an Appeal unto the general court here the tenor of which said Record and proceedings is in form following to wit

Ann Arundel county Sc. At a county court of the State of Maryland begun and held at the city of Annapolis in and for the county aforesaid on the second tuesday of March being the 2d day of the same month Anno Domini 1785.

The Worshipful.

We present
Nicholas Worthington
Allen Quynn
John Brice
William Hyde
Francis Fairbrother
Elijah Robertson
John Burgess

Richard Harwood Esq. Sheriff.

Nich. Harwood clerk.

Sr.

In the record and proceedings of the same court is the following to wit.

Brice Howard
vs
James Brown son of Robert.

Ann Arundel county sc. command was given to the Sheriff of Ann Arundel county that he should take James Brown son of Robert late of Ann Arundel county planter if he should be found in his bailiwick and that him he should safe kep so that he might have his body before the Justices of our county court to be held at Annapolis the second tuesday of March then next to answer unto Brice Howard of a plea of trespass upon the case and so forth.

At which said second tuesday tuesday in March to wit the 2d day of the same month in the year seventeen hundred and eighty five being the day of the return of the said writ comes the said Brice Howard by Gabriel Duvall his attorney and the Sheriff of Ann Arundel county to whom the aforegoing writ was directed comes and makes return thereof thus endorsed to wit. Cepi. Rich.d Howard Sheriff.

And the said James Brown son of Robert by Joshua Dorsey his attorney at the prayer of the said Brice Howard by Gabriel Duvall his attorney, Frederick Brown of Ann Arundel county planter being also present here in court in his proper person undertakes for the said James Brown son of Robert that in case the said Brice Howard shall recover Judgment against the said James Brown son of Robert in the action aforesaid or that he the said James Brown son of Robert shall be thereof convict that then the said James Brown son of Robert shall pay to the said Brice Howard the condemnation of the court thereupon or render his body into the custody of the Sheriff of Ann Arundel county in satisfaction thereof or that he the said Frederick Brown will do it for him. And the said James Brown son of Robert by Joshua Dorsey his attorney comes and defends the force & injury when and so forth. and prays leave to imparle hereunto until next court and he hath it and the same day is given to the plaintiff also. At which said next court to wit the second tuesday in August seventeen hundred and eighty five come again the said parties plaintiff and defendant by their attornies aforesaid and the said defendant by his said attorney prays further leave to imparle hereunto untill next court and he hath it and the same day is given to the plaintiff also. At which said next court to wit the second tuesday in November seventeen hundred and eighty five come again the said parties plaintiff and defendant by their attornies aforesaid and the said defendant by his said attorney prays further leave to imparle hereunto untill next court. and he hath it and the same day is given to the plaintiff also. At which said next court to wit the second tuesday in March seventeen hundred and eighty six come again the said parties plaintiff and defendant by their attornies aforesaid and this cause standing for trial but for special reasons to the court here shewn it is ordered that this cause be continued untill next court. At which said next court to wit the second tuesday in August seventeen hundred and eighty six come again the said parties plaintiff & defendant by

their