court here that the said Robert Plummer assigne the errors in the record of
proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid brought before the court here for correcting
the errors supposed to be therein, or Judgment by the court here will be entered
against him in default thereof whereupon the aforesaid Robert Plummer by his
attorney aforesaid prays that a day may be given him for the assignment
of errors of and upon the record of proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid
until the second tuesday of May next and to him it is granted the same
day is given to the said Anthony Poultney and Joseph Talbut executors of
the said Sarah Plummer also, At which said second tuesday of May being
the 18th day of the said month in the year of our Lord seventeen hundred &
eighty nine which said day was given to the said Robert Plummer for the
assignment of errors of and upon the record and proceedings of the Judgment
aforesaid come again into the General Court here as well the aforesaid Robert
Plummer by his attorney aforesaid as the said Sarah Plummer by her attorney
aforesaid and the said Robert Plummer by his attorney aforesaid saith that
in the record and proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of Judgment
aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit that by the record aforesaid
it appears that the Judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given
for the said Sarah Plummer against the said Robert Plummer when by the law
of the land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said Robert
Plummer against the said Sarah Plummer therefore in that there is manifest
error and he prays that the Judgment aforesaid for that error and others
being in the record and proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled &
held entirely as void and that he the said Robert Plummer may be
restored to all that he has lost by occasion of the said Judgment & that
the said Anthony Poultney and Joseph Talbut executors as aforesaid may
rejoin to the errors aforesaid &c and the said Anthony Poultney & Joseph Talbut
by their attorney aforesaid say there is no error in the record & proceedings
aforesaid or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and pray likewise
that the court here may proceed to the examination as well of the record &
proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said Robert Plummer
above for errors assigned and that the said Judgment may be in all things
affirmed &c but because the court here are not advised to give their Judgment of &
upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the court
here until the second tuesday of October next to hear their Judgment of and
upon the premises because the court now here thereof are not yet advised &c.
At which said second tuesday of October being the thirteenth day of the same
month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty nine
come

come again into the General Court here as well the aforesaid Robert Plummer
by his attorney aforesaid as the said Anthony Poultney and Joseph Talbut (the errors)
as aforesaid by their attorney aforesaid and the said Robert Plummer by his attorney
aforesaid relinquishing aforesaid above by him pretended says that there is no error
either in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in giving the Judgment aforesaid
Therefore it is considered by the court here that the Judgment aforesaid given in
form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and ratified: and it is further considered
by the court here that the said Anthony Poultney and Joseph Talbut executors as
aforesaid have execution against the said Robert Plummer as well for the damages
on the said county court of Frederick as also the sum of £4: 14: 4 current money and 521 ℔ tobo adjudged
costs and charges aforesaid so as aforesaid adjudged by the court here on their
agent for this damages costs and charges which which they have sustained by occasion
of the delay of execution of the Judgment aforesaid by means of the prosecuting the
said writ of the said State of Maryland for the correcting of errors by the
aforesaid Robert Plummer, ~~and Joseph Talbut executors~~ as aforesaid of and
upon the premises &c.

Test Jno. Gwinn clk.

Joseph Hill Junr
vs
Samuel Lane

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit on the twentieth day of August in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty eight the said Joseph Hill Junior by Alexander Frazier his attorney prosecuted and sued out of the High Court of Chancery of the State of Maryland of error directed to the Worshipfull the Justices of Anne Arundel County Court in the words and of the tenor following to wit, Maryland Sc. The State of Maryland, To the Worshipfull the Justices of Anne Arundel County Court Greeting, Because in the record and process and also in the rendering of Judgment on a certain action of debt brought by a certain Samuel Lane against Joseph Hill Junior for the sum of fifty two pounds thirteen shillings & eleven pence current money to be released on payment twenty six pounds six shillings and eleven pence half penny current money with interest from the thirty first day of August seventeen hundred and eighty six and three hundred and forty pounds and two fifths of a pound of tobacco cost of suit, at a county Court held before you our said Justices at Ann Arundel County Court at August Term seventeen hundred and eighty eight manifest error as it is said has happened to the great damage of the said Joseph Hill Junior as of his complaint we have received and we being willing that the error if any there be should be duly corrected and full and speedy Justice done to the said Joseph Hill Junior in this behalf command you that if Judgment be thereof

(rendered