the said Richard Cole had surveyed and laid out for him a tract of land called Coles discovery, for which he takes defence and returned a certificate thereof to the land office dated on the eighth day of January in the year of our lord one thousand seven hundred and Seventy three which certificate follows in these words, towit; [Here enter the said Certificate as recorded in page 528 No B] ~~Richard Cole the eighth day of January 1773. We do hereby grant unto him the said Richard Cole all that tract or parcel of land called Coles Discovery lying in Baltimore County, beginning at three bounded white oaks standing on the North side of Hog pen branch which descends into Herring run the main branch of Back river and running thence N 8° N 154 pes N 17½ E 80 pes W 20 pes N 5½ E 153 pes E 130 pes N 20 pes S 71½ E 79 pes N 80° E 60 pes N 30° E 100 pes N 55° W 60 pes N 20° E 55 pes N 36° W 110 pes N 80° E 111 pes S 90 pes S 20° W 80 pes S 55 E 100 pes S 20° W 50 pes S 80° W 200 pes S 48½° W 87 pes S 74° W 130 pes S 62½° W 291 perches S 84° W 38 pes S 60° W 100 pes N 27½° E 88 perches N 46 pes south 81° E 42 pes and thence by a straight line to the beginning containing and now laid out for 330 Acres more or less Patented 10th April 1775~~

~~True extract from Lib B B & P L No 51 Folio 65~~

~~Test Jno Callahan Reg Pro Office~~

And on the tenth day of April in the year seventeen hundred and seventy five the said Richard obtained the then lord proprietors grant for the same tract of land which follows in these words; [Here insert the Grant as recorded in page 528 No C] It is also admitted that the said tract called Coles discovery is truly located on the said plots in this cause, and that the said Richard Cole by virtue of his said Grant entered upon the said Tract and is possessed thereof: it is also admitted that on the twenty second day of January seventeen hundred and seventy three the said Richard Cole filed a petition in the lord proprietors land Office to prevent a patent issuing on the aforesaid certificate of the Silent Cypher of Africa to the said Job which petition follows in these words towit; [here insert it as entered in page 530 No D] and on the same day the said certificate was indorsed in consequence of the said petition "Caveated by Richard Cole". It is further admitted that no proceedings were had by the said Richard Cole nor any thing done by him in prosecution of the said Caveat from that time; and that on the sixth day of November in the year seventeen hundred and seventy five, the said Job petitioned the then Judges of the Lord proprietors land Office for a dismission of the said Caveat and that a patent might issue on his the said Job's certificate, which petition follows in these words towit [here insert it as recorded in page 530 No E] and that in consequence of the said

said petition the Caveat was ordered to be dismissed and a patent to issue. The lease, entry and Ouster is also admitted.

It is agreed that Judgment be entered for the Plaintiff according to his claim: And upon this State it is submitted to the Court whether the said Job under his grant hath title to that part of the land contained within his grant which lies also within the grant of Coles discovery; and if it should be their opinion that he has a title to that part of the land in his the said Job's grant aforesaid contained, then Judgment for possession and costs to be entered for the plaintiff according to his pretensions located on the said plotts; but if it should be the opinion of the said Court that the said Job is not by his said Grant entitled to that part of the land comprized in his said grant which is also within the grant of Coles discovery, then Judgment for Costs to be entered for Defendant.

Richard Ridgely.
Luther Martin for Plff

Whereupon for that the Court here are not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid, day thereof is further given to the parties aforesaid to hear thereof their Judgment until the second tuesday of October next for that the said Court here as yet are not, &c.

At which said second tuesday of October being the tenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty six, come again into the General Court here the parties aforesaid by their Attornies aforesaid: Whereupon for that the Court here are not advised to give Judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid, day thereof is further given to the said parties to hear thereof their Judgment until the second tues day of May next, for that the said Court here as yet are not &c.

At which said second tuesday of May being the eighth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty seven come again into the General Court here the parties aforesaid by their Attornies aforesaid; Whereupon for that the Court here are not advised to give Judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid, day thereof is further given to the said parties to hear thereof their Judgment until the second tuesday of October next, for that the said Court here as yet are not &c.

At which said second Tuesday of October being the tenth day of the same month in the year of our lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty seven, Come again into the General Court here the parties aforesaid by their Attornies aforesaid; Whereupon for that the Court here are not advised to give Judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid, day