And thereupon on motion of the said Thomas Beally by his Attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here that the said Adam Creager answer to the declaration aforesaid of the said Thomas Beally in the plea aforesaid, or judgment by the Court here will be entered against him in default thereof, and the said Adam Creager by his Attorney aforesaid defends the force and injury when andsoforth and prays leave of the Court here to imparle until the second tuesday of October next and then to answer unto the declaration of the said Thomas Beally in the plea aforesaid, and to him it is granted, the same day is given to the said Thomas Beally also, at which said second tuesday of October being the thirteenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty nine to which said day the said Adam Creager had leave to imparle and then to answer to the declaration aforesaid of the said Thomas Beally in the plea aforesaid come again into the General Court here the parties aforesaid by their Attornies aforesaid, and the said Adam Creager by his Attornies aforesaid as before defends the force and injury when and soforth and saith that the aforesaid Thomas Beally his action aforesaid against him to have or maintain ought not, because he saith that he the said Adam Creager did pay unto the said Thomas Beally the said sum of money in the Condition of the said writing obligatory mentioned with legal interest thereon according to the form and effect of the said writing obligatory and this he is ready to verify, wherefore he prays Judgment if the aforesaid Thomas Beally his action aforesaid against him to have or maintain ought and so forth. And the aforesaid Thomas Beally by his Attorney aforesaid saith that he by any thing by the said Adam Creager above in pleading alledged from having or maintaining his said action against him, ought not to be precluded because he saith that the said Adam Creager did not pay unto him the aforesaid Thomas Beally the said sum of money in the Condition aforesaid mentioned with Interest thereon in such manner and form as the said Adam Creager above by pleading hath alledged, and this he prays may be enquired of by the Country and the said Adam Creager in like manner and soforth. Therefore let a Jury thereon appear before the Court here by the second tuesday of May next by whom and soforth, and who neither and soforth, to recognize and soforth. because as well and soforth the same day is given to the said parties then and there and so forth. And now here at this day towit the said second tuesday of May being

being the eleventh day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety come again into the General Court here the parties aforesaid by their Attornies aforesaid, and the said Adam Creager by his Attorney aforesaid relinquishing the averment aforesaid above by him pretended, say that he cannot deny the action of the said Thomas Beally so as aforesaid against him brought, nor but that he owes to the aforesaid Thomas Beally the aforesaid sum of six hundred pounds Current money in manner and form aforesaid so as aforesaid Thomas Beally above against him declared.

Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the said Thomas Beally recover against the said Adam Creager the sum six hundred pounds Current money, his debt aforesaid in form aforesaid confessed as also the quantity of six hundred and sixty six pounds of Tobacco by the Court here unto him the said Thomas Beally on his assent adjudged for his damages which he hath sustained, as well by reason of the detention of that debt as for his Costs and charges by him about his suit in this behalf laid out and expended and the said Adam Creager in mercy and soforth.

Memorandum Judgment was rendered in this Cause on the twenty fourth day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety for the penalty of the bond and Costs of suit and the plaintiff agrees to release the penalty upon payment of the principal Interest and Costs and agrees to stay Execution till the first August next payments if any to be allowed.

Test John Gwinn Clk.

Samuel Blanchard and Thomas Blanchard use of William Bell
against
George Mansell

Be it remembered that heretofore towit on the second day of March Anno Domini seventeen hundred and eighty nine the plaintiffs by Samuel Johnston their Attorney sued out of our General Court here the State of Marylands writ of Capias ad respondendum directed to the Sheriff of Frederick County in the words and figures following towit. The State of Maryland Sc. To the Sheriff of Frederick County Greeting. We command you take George Mansell late of Frederick County merchant otherwise called George Mansele both of Anne Arundel County and State of Maryland if he shall be found in your bailiwick and him safe keep so that you have his body before the Judges of our General Court to be held at the City of Annapolis on the second tuesday of May next to answer Samuel Blanchford and Thomas Blanchford of a plea that he render unto them the sum of four hundred and twenty nine pounds thirteen shillings and four pence half pence Current money which to them he

owes,