Daniel Dulany adm.r of Thomas Bladen vs William Goodwin — Judgment October Term 1789. Same Case Stated as against William Goodwin page 21. and same judgment thereon.

Same vs Thomas Price — Judgment October Term 1788. subject to the opinion of the court whether by the late war between great Britain and America, the payments made by the Defendant into the treasury the debt is Discharged or extinguished or any and what part of the same or of the interest thereof. The opinion of the Court now is and judgment thereon in the said suit for Plaintiff for the value of the penalties of the bonds in the declaration mentioned and costs to be released on the payment of 177 L. 2. Current money with interest thereon from 1st April 1775 to the 4th July 1776. and from the 3d September 1783 till paid and costs Case stated filed, appeal prayed and granted.

Same vs William Goodwin secy. of Thomas Price — Judgment October Term 1788. subject to same Terms as Thomas Price above, Same opinion and Judgment as above against Thomas Price. Case stale filed. appeal prayed and granted.

Same vs Benjamin Rogers — Judgment October Term 1788. Subject to same terms as against Thomas Price above mentioned. The opinion of the court now is and judgment thereon in this suit for Plaintiff for the plaintiff for the value of the penally of the bonds in the Declaration mentioned and costs to be released on the payment of £ 382. 1. 5 Current money with interest thereon from the 1st April 1775 to the 4th July 1776. and from the 3d September 1783 till paid and and Costs.

Same vs Charles Rogers Secy. of Benja. Rogers — Judgment October Term 1788. subject to same terms as Thomas Price above mentioned, and opinion and Judgment now given same as against Benjamin Rogers above.

Daniel Dulany adm.r of Thomas Bladen vs Thomas Hutchings — Judgment October Term 1788. subject to same opinion as Thomas Price above The opinian of the court now is and judgment thereon in this suit for Plainiff for the value of the penalties of the bonds in the Declarations mentioned and costs to be released on payment of £ 196. 15. 0 C.My. with interest thereon from the 1st April 1775 to the fourth July 1776 and from the 3d September 1783. untill paid and costs. Case stated filed. appeal prayed and granted.

Daniel Dulany adm.r of Thomas Bladen vs David Johnson secy for Thomas Hutchings — Judgment October Term 1788. subject to same opinion as Thomas Price on the preceeding page. and opinion and judgment now given Same as against Thomas Hutchings in the Preceding page. Case Stated filed, appeal prayed and Granted.

Same vs John Standerford secy for Thomas Hutchings — Judgment to October Term 1788. Subject same opinion as Thomas Price on the preceeding page and opinion and Judgment now given same as against Thomas Hutchings above Case Stated filed. appeal prayed and granted.

Same vs William Goodwin secy. for Thomas Hutchings — Judgment October Term 1788. subject to same opinion as Thomas Price on the preceeding page, and opinion and judgment now given same against Thomas Hutchings above Case stale filed appeal prayed and Granted.

Same vs Charles Ridgely — Judgment October Term 1788 subject to agreement filed 31. May 1790. The opinion of the court now is and judgment thereon a Nine pro tunc in this suit for the plaintiff for the value of the penally of the bond in the declaration mentioned and costs to be released on the payment of £161. 5 9. Current money with interest thereon from 1st April 1775. to the 4th July 1776. and from the 3d September 1783 till paid and costs. Appeal prayed and Granted Case stated. filed 24th November 1790.

Same vs Same — Same entry and Judgment as against Charles Ridgely above Appeal prayed and Granted case stated filed 24th November 1790.

Same vs Same — Same entry and judgment as Charles Ridgely above appeal prayed and granted Case stated filed 24th November 1790.

Same vs Same — Same entry and judgment as Charles Ridgely above appeal prayed and granted Case stated filed 24th November 1790.

William P. Griffiths Lessee vs Michael Scott Trustee for Richard Scott — Ejectment Struck off. Court says by order of parties at May Term 1790. It is agreed by the parties that the determination of this cause be left to Thomas Jennings Esquire and if he should be of opinion that the plaintiff has not a sufficient title to support this ejectment then a writ of restitution to be awarded to put the defendant in possession of premises in the ejectment mentioned and judgment for defendant for Costs. And if the said Jennings should be of opinion that the plaintiff has a good title then Jud. to be entered for possession and costs for plaintiff.