Which being read and heard the said Henry Lamott as before defends the force and injury when and so forth and prays further leave to Imparle hereunto untill next Court and he hath it and the same day is given to the Plaintiff also.

At which said ~~next court~~ to wit the Eleventh day of May Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety comes again as well the aforesaid James Sterrett by Luther Martin his attorney aforesaid as the aforesaid Henry Lamott by Zebulon Hollingsworth and Robert Smith his attornies.

And the said Henry Lammott by his attornies aforesaid as before defends the force and Injury when and so forth and saith that the said James Sterrett his action aforesaid against him to have or maintain ought not &c because he saith that the said John Lammott the obligator in the said writing obligatory did from the time of making the writing obligatory aforesaid hitherto hath well and faithfully observed performed and fulfilled all and every thing in the Condition aforesaid mentioned on his part to be performed observed and fulfilled according to the form and Effect of the said Condition to wit at Ann Arundel County aforesaid and this he is ready to verify wherefore he prays Judgment if the said James Sterrett ought to have or maintain his action aforesaid thereof against him and so forth.

Whereupon the said James Sterrell by his attorney aforesaid prays leave to reply to the plea aforesaid untill next Court and he hath it and the same day is given to the said Henry Lammott also.

At which said next court to wit the twelfth day of October Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety comes again as well the aforesaid James Sterrell by his attorney aforesaid as the aforesaid Henry Lammott by his attornies aforesaid.

And the said James Sterrell saith that he by anything in the said plea of the said plea of the said Henry Lammott alledged ought not to be precluded from having and maintaining his action aforesaid against him the said Henry Lammott because protesting that the said Henry Lammott hath not performed anything by him to be preformed for replication to the said plea the said James Sterrett replieth that on the said writ of Error it was so proceeded

proceeded in the high Court of appeals between the said John Lammott and the said James Sterrett that the aforesaid Judgment of the General Court was at a High Court of Appeals held in the City of Annapolis on the first Tuesday in October in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty seven by the said High Court of appeals in all things affirmed and ratified and it was then and there by the said High Court of appeals further considered that the said James Sterrett should recover against the said John Lammott the sum of nine hundred and forty nine pounds of Tobacco for his costs and damages in that case laid out expended and sustained as appears by the record thereof in the said high Court of appeals remaining and the said James Sterrett further saith that the said James Lamott hath not paid or satisfied to him the said James Sterrell the aforesaid Judgment obtained by him in the said General court and the aforesaid sum of Tobacco adjudged to him the said Sterrell by the said High Court of Appeals or any part thereof and this he is ready to verify &c. wherefore he prays Judgment &c.

And the said James Sterrell by his attorney aforesaid prays that the said Henry Lammott to his replication aforesaid may rejoin and answer by Friday the fifth day of November in this same Term, but the said Henry Lammott altho on that day solemnly called comes not but makes default whereby the said James Sterrell remains against the said Henry Lammott thereby undefended.

Therefore it is considered by the Judges here that the said James Sterrell recover against the said Henry Lammott as well the sum of four thousand pounds Current money his debt aforesaid as also the sum of five hundred and ninety seven pounds of Tobacco by the Court here unto him the said James Sterrell on this agent adjudged as well for his damages sustained by means of detaining that debt as for his costs and Charges by him about his suit in this behalf laid out and expended and the said defendant in mercy &c.

Test John Gwinn Clk

L.M. James Sterrell against R.L.B.H. Henry Lamott	Be it remembered that heretofore to wit, On the first day of July Anno Domini seventeen hundred and eighty eight the plaintiff by Luther Martin