James Sterrett by his attorney aforesaid as the aforesaid Henry Lammott by his attornies aforesaid.

And the said James Sterrett saith that he by any thing in the said Plea of the said Henry Lamott alledged ought not to be precluded from having and maintaining his action aforesaid against him the said Henry Lammott because protesting that the said Henry Lammott hath not performed any thing by him to be performed for replication to the said plea the said James Sterrett replieth that on the said writ of Error it was so proceeded in the high Court of appeals between the said Daniel Lammot and the said James Sterrett that the aforesaid Judgment of the General Court was at a high Court of appeals held in the City of Annapolis on the first tuesday in October in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty seven by the said High Court of Appeals in all things affirmed and ratified and it was then and there by the said High court of appeals further considered that the said James Sterrett should recover against the said Daniel Lammott the sum of nine hundred and forty nine pounds of Tobacco for his Costs and Charges in that Case laid out expended and sustained as appears by the record thereof in the said High Court of appeals remaining and the said James Sterrett further in fact saith that the said Daniel Lammott hath not paid or satisfied to him the said James Sterrett the aforesaid Judgment obtained by him in the said General Court and the aforesaid Tobacco adjudged to him the said Sterrett by the said High Court of Appeals or any part thereof and this he is ready to verify &c. wherefore he prays Judgment &c.

And the said James Sterrett by his attorney aforesaid prays that the said Henry Lammott to his replication aforesaid may rejoin and answer by Friday the fifth day of November in this same Term, But the said Henry Lammott altho. on that day solemnly called comes not but makes default whereby the said James Sterrett remains against the said Henry Lamott thereof undefended.

Therefore it is considered by the Judges here that the said James Sterrett recover against the said Henry Lammott as well the sum of four thousand pounds current money his debt

debt

aforesaid as also the sum of five hundred and ninety seven pounds of Tobacco by the Court here unto him the said James Sterrett on his assent adjudged as well for his damages sustained by means of detaining that debt as for his costs and charges by him about his suit in this behalf laid out and expended and the said Defendant in mercy &c.

Test Jno. Gwinn Clk.

State of Maryland against Edward Norwood and Elam Bailey.

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit. On the thirtieth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty nine. The State of Maryland by Luther Martin its attorney sued out of the General Court here the writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad respondendum directed and sent to the sheriff of Baltimore County in form following to wit.

The State of Maryland &c. To the sheriff of Baltimore County Greeting. We command you that you take Edward Norwood and Elam Bailey late of Baltimore county, otherwise called Edward Norwood and Elam Bailey, if they shall be found in your bailiwick and them safe keep, so that you have their bodies before the Judges of our General Court, to be held at the City of Annapolis on the second Tuesday of May next, to answer unto the State of Maryland of a plea that they render unto the said State the sum of six hundred and ninety pounds current money which to the said State they owe and unjustly detain and so forth. Hereof fail not at your peril, and have you then and there this writ. Witness the honourable Robert Hanson Harrison Esquire chief Judge of our said court the 18th. day of November anno domini 1788.

Issued the 30th. day of March 1789. Jno. Gwinn Clk

L.M.

At which said second tuesday of May being the Twelfth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Eighty nine, and the day of the return of the aforegoing writ comes into the General Court here the said State of Maryland by its attorney aforesaid, and the sheriff of Baltimore County to whom the said writ was in form aforesaid directed likewise comes and makes return thereof to the Court here, thus endorsed to wit.

Cepi Norwood. Nc Bailey. Thos. Rutter Shff.

And