to them the said Elkanah and Francis the said sum of two hundred and fifty two pounds eighteen shillings and nine pence Virginia Currency mentioned in the said Bill according to the tenor and effect of the said Bill and of his said acceptance thereof, and being so Bound he the said Samuel Lane in consideration thereof afterwards to wit on the same day and year last aforesaid at the county aforesaid upon himself and then and there faithfully promised the said Elkanah and Francis to pay them the aforesaid sum of money in the said Bill mentioned according to the tenor and effect of the said Bill and of his acceptance thereof as aforesaid. And the said Elkanah and Francis aver that the sum of two hundred and fifty two pounds eighteen shillings and nine pence Virginia currency in the said Bill of exchange mentioned at the time of the making of the said Bill and of the acceptance thereof as aforesaid was and ever since has continued to be and now is of the value of three hundred and sixteen pounds three shillings and five pence farthing current money of Maryland to wit at Baltimore County aforesaid whereof the said Samuel on the day and year last aforesaid there had notice. Nevertheless the said Samuel Lane his promise and assumption aforesaid not regarding but contriving and fraudulently intending the aforesaid Elkanah and Francis in this behalf to deceive and defraud the aforesaid sum of two hundred and fifty two pounds eighteen shillings and nine pence in the aforesaid Bill of Exchange mentioned or any part thereof or of the value thereof to the said Elkanah and Francis or to either of them to pay he the said Samuel Lane yet hath not paid (altho so to do by the said Elkanah and Francis he the said Samuel Lane afterwards to wit on the twenty first day of May in the year seventeen hundred and eighty six and often afterwards at the county aforesaid was requested) but the same or any part thereof or of the value thereof to render or pay to the said Elkanah and Francis or to either of them he the said Samuel Lane altogether hitherto hath refused and still doth refuse to the damage of the said Elkanah and Francis five hundred pounds current money of Maryland and therefore they bring their suit and so forth

Archibald Robinson Attn. for Pltffs pledges to prosecute { John Doe and Richard Roe

And the said Samuel Lane senior by William Kilty his attorney aforesaid as before defends the force and injury when and so forth and saith that he did not assume upon himself in such manner and form as the aforesaid Elkanah Watson and Francis Cafoul

above against him have declared, and of this he puts himself upon the Country and the aforesaid Elkanah Watson and Francis Cafoul in like manner &c. Therefore let a Jury thereupon appear before the Judges of our General Court here immediately by whom &c. and who neither &c. to recognize &c. because as well &c. who being called come that is to say Thomas Blake, barber Onions Abraham Garrett, Samuel Durham, Thomas Lingan, James Orme, William Muir, Jacob Manwarring, Thomas Holton Hezekiah Garner, Thomas Warring and Benjamin Thurgerford who being duly elected, tried and sworn to say the truth in the premises upon their oath do say that the said Samuel Lane senior did assume upon himself in such manner and form as the said Elkanah Watson and Francis Cafoul have within complained against him, and they assess the damages of them the said Elkanah Watson and Francis Cafoul by reason of the non performance of the promises and assumptions within mentioned to the sum of four hundred and eleven pounds five shillings and eight pence current money besides their costs and charges &c. Therefore it is considered by the Judges here that the aforesaid Elkanah Watson and Francis Cafoul recover against the said Samuel Lane Senior as well the sum of four hundred and eleven pounds five shillings and eight pence current money their damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed as also the quantity of eleven hundred and ninety eight pounds of tobacco by the Court here unto the said Elkanah Watson and Francis Cafoul on their agent adjudged for their costs and charges by them about their suit in this behalf laid out and expended, and the said Defendant in mercy &c.

Test John Gwinn Clk.

Mary K. Goddard against William Goddard

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit on the fourth day of August anno Domini seventeen hundred and eighty six the Defendant by Richard Ridgely his attorney sued out of our General Court the State of Maryland's writ of Habeas corpus directed to the Justices and Sheriff of Baltimore county in the words and figures following to wit The State of Maryland &c. To the Justices and Sheriff of Baltimore county Greeting. Whereas William Goddard of Baltimore county yeoman is detained in the prison of our said county under the custody of you our said Sheriff at the suit of a certain Mary Katherine Goddard in a plea of debt and so forth which said plea still remains before you our said Justices undetermined as it is said and we being willing for divers causes that the

(plea