upon the premises day therefore is leave given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second Tuesday of October next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet advised and so forth

And now here at this day to wit the said second Tuesday of October being the eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety one comes again into the General Court here as well the said Charles Williamson by his attornes aforesaid as the said as the said James Stone by — Alexander Frazier and William Pinkney his attornies; whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid Charles Williamson above for errors assigned being seen and fully understood by the Court here and mature deliberation thereupon had for it appears to the Court here that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in the giving Judgment aforesaid; therefore it is considered by the Court here that the Judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect the said causes for error above assigned and alledged in any wise notwithstanding; and it is further considered by the Court here that the said James Stone have execution against the said Charles Williamson as well for the debt damages costs and charges aforesaid as adjudged unto him in the said County Court of Calvert as also the quantity of six hundred and twenty two pounds of tobacco adjudged unto the said James Stone by the Court here for his damages costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by the pretence of the prosecution of the said writ of the said State for the correcting of errors by the said Charles Williamson of and and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted,

Test John Gwinn Clk

Henry Oneall against Joseph Ward

Be it remembered that now here on the second Tuesday of October being the thirteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty nine the Worshipful Justices of Montgomery County Court in pursuance of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided transmit to the General Court here the record and proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the said County Court between Joseph Ward plaintiff and Henry Oneall defendant wherein the said County Court gave Judgment for the said Joseph Ward against the said Henry Oneall

and from which said Judgment the said Henry Oneall prayed an Appeal unto the General Court here, the tenor of which said record and proceedings is in form following to wit, State of Maryland Montgomery County to wit, At a County Court begun and held at the Court house in and for the County aforesaid on the second Tuesday of March being the tenth day of the same month anno Domini 1789.

Present The Worshipful Edward Burgess, Joseph Wilson, John Holmes, Brian Campbell and Samuel Wade Magruder Gentlemen Justices; Benjamin H. Jones Esq.r Shff — Brooke Beall Clk.

Among the records and proceedings of the same Court are the following viz.

Joseph Ward against Henry Oneall — Sub: in part, Judgment pr verdict for plaintiff. Montgomery County to wit. Henry Oneall late of Montgomery county planter was summoned to answer unto Joseph Ward of a plea wherefore whereas the said Joseph Ward and Henry Oneall together and undivided hold all those tracts or parcels of land lying in Montgomery County called Wards Chance containing ninety acres, Bealls design containing ninety acres, and Comfort containing ninety acres with the appurtenances to them belonging the said Henry Oneall partition between them thereof according to the form of the Statute in that case provided gainsay and will not suffer to be done contrary to the form of the Statute aforesaid and so forth. And whereupon the said Joseph by William H. Dorsey his attorney saith that whereas he and the aforesaid Henry together and undivided hold the aforesaid lands with the appurtenances and whereas to the said Joseph and his heirs it belongs to have two parts of the said lands with the appurtenances in three parts to be divided and to the aforesaid Henry and his heirs it belongs to have the remaining third part of the said lands in three parts to be divided to be held by them in severalty so that the said Joseph may occupy and possess in severalty his two parts of the said land with the appurtenances and the said Henry may occupy and possess in severalty his one third part of the said land with the appurtenances the said Henry partition between them thereof according to the form of the Statute in that case provided gainsays and will not suffer to be done contrary to the form of the Statute aforesaid, wherefore the said Joseph saith he is worse and hath damage to the value of one hundred pounds current money and therefore he brings suit and so forth

William H. Dorsey pledges &c. } John Doe and Richard Roe

And now here at this day to wit the second Tuesday of August one thousand seven hundred and eighty six comes the said Henry Oneal by Richard Potts his attorney comes and defends the force

(and