that error which of right and according to the laws and customs of this State may be done. Witness the Honourable Alexander Contee Hanson Esquire Chancellor this third day of February anno Domini 1790

Sam.l W.m Howard Reg. Cur Can

(Seal of Office) In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of Office this 3.d day of May in the year 1790.

Nich. Harwood Cl.

And now at this day comes into the General Court here as well the said John Plummer by Gabriel Duvall his attorney aforesaid as the said William French, John Bowman, John Crawford and James Hopkirk by William Cook their attorney aforesaid; and thereupon on motion of the said William French, John Bowman, John Crawford and James Hopkirk by their attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said John Plummer assign the errors in the record of proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid brought before the Court here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or Judgment by the Court here will be entered against him in default thereof; whereupon the aforesaid John Plummer by his attorney aforesaid prays that a day may be given him for the assignment of errors of and upon the record of proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid until the second Tuesday of October next and to him it is granted; the same day is given to the said William French, John Bowman, John Crawford and James Hopkirk also

At which said second Tuesday of October being the twelfth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety which said day was given to the said John Plummer for the assignment of errors of and upon the record and proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid comes again into the General Court here as well the aforesaid John Plummer by his attorney aforesaid as the said William French, John Bowman, John Crawford and James Hopkirk by their attorney aforesaid; and the said John Plummer by his attorney aforesaid saith that in the record and proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit that by the record aforesaid it appears that the Judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said William French, John Bowman, John Crawford and James Hopkirk against the said John Plummer when by the law of the land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said John Plummer against the said William French, John Bowman, John Crawford and James Hopkirk therefore in that there is manifest error and he prays that the Judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record and proceedings aforesaid

may be reversed, annulled and held entirely as void and that he the said John Plummer may be restored to all that he has lost by occasion of the said Judgment and that the said William French, John Bowman, John Crawford and James Hopkirk may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth and the said William French, John Bowman, John Crawford and James Hopkirk by their attorney aforesaid say there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and pray likewise that the Court here may proceed to the examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said John Plummer above for errors assigned and that the said Judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth; but because the Court here are not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second Tuesday of May next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet advised &c.

At which said second Tuesday of May being the 10.th day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety one comes again into the General Court here as well the said John Plummer by his attorney aforesaid as the said William French, John Bowman, John Crawford and James Hopkirk by their attorney aforesaid; but because the Court here are not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second Tuesday of October next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet advised and so forth

And now here at this day to wit the said second Tuesday of October being the eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety one comes again into the General Court here as well the said John Plummer by his attorney aforesaid as the said William French, John Bowman, John Crawford and James Hopkirk by their attorney aforesaid; and the said John Plummer by his attorney aforesaid says that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in giving the Judgment aforesaid; therefore it is considered by the Court here that the Judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and ratified; and it is further considered by the Court here that the said William French, John Bowman, John Crawford and James Hopkirk have execution against the said John Plummer as well for their debt, damages costs and charges aforesaid so as aforesaid adjudged unto them in the said County Court of Anne Arundel as also the quantity of six hundred and four pounds of tobacco adjudged unto them by the Court here on their agent for their costs and charges which they have sustained by occasion of the delay of execution of the Judgment aforesaid by means of the prosecuting of the said writ of the said State of Maryland

(for