And the said David Lock Meems William Meems and Sutton Isaac Meems Executors aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid as before defends the force and injury when and so forth and say that the said Asenath Grahame administratrix aforesaid her action aforesaid against them to have or maintain ought not &c because they say that the said James Meems son of David in his life time did pay unto the said Charles Grahame in his life time the said sum of money in the condition of the said writing obligatory mentioned with legal Interest thereon according to the form and effect of the condition of the said writing obligatory and this they are ready to verify wherefore they pray Judgment if the said Asenath Grahame administratrix aforesaid her action aforesaid against them to have or maintain ought &c

And the said Asenath Grahame administratrix aforesaid saith that she by any thing by the said David Lock Meems William Meems and Sutton Isaac Meems Executors aforesaid above in pleading alledged from having or maintaining her action aforesaid against them ought not to be precluded because she saith that the aforesaid James Meems son of David in his lifetime did not pay unto him the said Charles Grahame in his life time the aforesaid sum of money in the condition aforesaid mentioned with the Interest thereon in such manner and form as the said David Lock Meems, William Meems, and Sutton Isaac Meems Executors aforesaid above by pleading have alledged And of this she prays may be enquired of by the bounty and the aforesaid David Lock Meems William Meems and Sutton Isaac Meems Executors aforesaid in like manner and soforth.

Therefore let a Jury thereupon appear before the Judges of our General Court here on the second Tuesday of may next by whom &c and who neither &c to recognize &c because as well &c the same day is given to the parties aforesaid then and there &c.

And now here at this day to wit, the said second tuesday of may being the eighth day of the same month Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety two come again as well the said Asenath Grahame administratrix aforesaid by her attorney aforesaid as the said David Lock Meems William Meems and Sutton Isaac Meems Executors aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid.

Nevertheless the aforesaid David Lock Meems, William Meems and Sutton Isaac Meems Executors aforesaid by their attorney aforesaid relinquishing the averment above by them pretended say that they cannot deny the

(action

action aforesaid of the aforesaid Asenath Grahame administratrix aforesaid so as aforesaid against him brought nor but that they owe to the aforesaid Asenath Grahame administratrix aforesaid the aforesaid sum of three hundred and seventy eight pounds three shillings and four pence current money in manner and form aforesaid so as the aforesaid Asenath Grahame administratrix aforesaid above against them hath declared Therefore it is considered by the Judges here that the aforesaid Asenath Grahame administratrix aforesaid recover against the aforesaid David Lock Meems William Meems and Sutton Isaac Meems Executors aforesaid as well the sum of Three hundred and seventy eight pounds three shillings and four pence current money her debt aforesaid in form aforesaid confessed as also the quantity of Eight hundred and twenty one pounds of Tobacco by the Court here unto the said Asenath Grahame administratrix aforesaid on her absent adjudged as well for her damages sustained by reason of the detention of that debt as for her costs and charges by her about her Suit in this behalf laid out and expended to be levied of the goods and chattles which were of the said James Meems son of David the Testator at the time of his death in the hands of the said David Lock Meems William Meems and Sutton Isaac Meems Executors as aforesaid remaining to be administered if so much thereof in their hands they have and soforth, and if so much thereof in their hands they have not and soforth, then the costs of Suit aforesaid to be levied of the proper goods and chattles of the said David Lock Meems William Meems and Sutton Isaac Meems the Executors aforesaid. And the said Defendant in mercy &c.

Memorandum The Plaintiff releases the penalty on payment of the principal Interest and costs of Suit and agrees to stay Execution until the first day of August next.

Test. John Irvinn Clk

65 Ps.

Baker Howards Les against Clement Gardiner	Be it remembered that on the twelfth day of October anno Domini seventeen hundred and Eighty four Clement Gardiner late of prince georges bounty planter was attached

to answer unto Titus Right of a plea wherefore with force and arms at prince georges bounty aforesaid he the said Clement into all that tract or parcell of plantable Land lying and being in prince georges bounty aforesaid called Brooke chance containing Eight hundred and thirty acres of Land with the appurtenances which Baker Howard to him the said Titus demised for a term

(which