Therefore it is considered by the court here that the said John Read Magruder recover against the said John Brown his debt aforesaid in form aforesaid acknowledged as also the quantity of three hundred and forty three pounds of Tobacco as well for his Damages which he hath sustained by reason of the detention of that debt as for his costs and charges by him about his suit in this behalf expended to the same John Read Magruder by the court here with his assent adjudged, and the said John Brown in mercy &c.

Memorandum The Plaintiff agrees to release the penalty on payment of Eighty Eight pounds nine shillings and six pence current money with Interest thereon from the twenty fourth day of may seventeen hundred and Eighty Eight and costs.

Test. John R Magruder Junr. Deptg. Clk

afterwards towit on the eighth day of February anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety one the aforesaid John Brown produced to the court here the State of Marylands writ of Error commanding the Judgment aforesaid and all things thereunto relating to be transmitted to the General court the Tenor whereof ensues in the following words, to wit

Maryland, Set. The State of Maryland To the worshipfull the Justices of Prince Georges county court Greeting Because in the record and process and also in the undering of Judgment on a certain action brought by John Read Magruder against John Brown for the sum of one hundred and seventy six pounds nineteen shillings current money as also the quantity of three hundred and forty three pounds of Tobacco costs of suit at a county court held before you our said Justices at Prince Georges county June Term seventeen hundred and ninety manifest Error as it is said has happened to the great Damage of the said John Brown as of his complaint we have received and we being willing that the Errors if any there be should be duly corrected and full and speedy Justice done to the said John Brown in this behalf command you that if Judgment be thereof rendered that then the record and process aforesaid with all things thereunto relating under your seals distinctly and openly you send and this writ so that the same may be had before the Judges of our General court at Annapolis the second Tuesday in may next that the record and process aforesaid being inspected into we may further do therein for the correcting that Error which of right and according to the Lawes and customs (of

of this State may be done. Witness the Honourable Alexander Contee Hanson Esquire Chancellor this 2nd day of February anno Domini 1792.

Samuel Harvey Howard Reg. Cur. Can.

which aforegoing writ of Error being by the court here received and allowed the record of the proceedings aforesaid together with the writ of Error annexed are hereby transmitted accordingly.

Test John R. Magruder Junr. Deptg. Clk

Prince Georges County, Set. In Testimony that the aforegoing is a true copy taken from the record and proceedings of Prince Georges County court I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the publick seal of the county aforesaid this twenty sixth day of april anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety one.

(Seal of the Prince Georges County court)

John Read Magruder Junr. Deptg Clk of Prince Georges County court

At which said second tuesday of may being the tenth day of the same month anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety one comes here into our General court as well the aforesaid John Brown by Philip Barton Key and Edward Nicholls his attornies as the aforesaid John Read Magruder by William Kelly his attorney But because the court here are not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises Day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid until the second Tuesday of October next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the court here thereof not yet &c.

At which said second tuesday of October being the eleventh day of the same month anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety one comes again here into our General court as well the said John Brown by his attornies aforesaid as the said John Read Magruder by his attorney aforesaid

And the said John Brown by his attornies aforesaid comes and says that in the record and process aforesaid and also in giving the Judgment aforesaid there is manifest Error in this, to wit, that by the record and process aforesaid it appears that the said Judgment is given for the said John Read Magruder against the said John Brown when by the Law of the Land the said Judgment ought to have been given for the said John Brown against the said John Read Magruder Therefore in this there is manifest Error and the said John Brown prays that for this and other Errors in the said (Record