Easey Lessee of the aforesaid James Gittings by his attorney aforesaid; And the Sheriff of Baltimore County aforesaid to whom a copy of the said Declaration in Ejectment and notice aforesaid was in form aforesaid made and sent likewise comes and makes return touching the same to the Court here in form following to wit.

Copy left 18th September. The Rutter Shff.

And the said Thomas Chamberlain and William Adams by Aquila Hall their attorney in pursuance of the notice aforesaid, come into Court here And thereupon it is ruled by the Court here, with the consent of the said Peter Easey Lessee of the said James Gittings by his attorney aforesaid and the said Thomas Chamberlain and William Adams (who claim the title in question) by their attorney aforesaid that the said Thomas Chamberlain and William Adams be admitted Defendants in the place of the now Defendant Thomas Troublesome the Casual Ejector and that they immediately receive a Declaration, and plead thereto the General issue and at the trial thereon to be had the said Thomas Chamberlain and William Adams shall appear in their proper persons or by their attorney or Counsel and confess the lease, entry and actual ouster and expulsion of so much of the Tenements in the Declaration of the aforesaid Peter Easey Lessee of the aforesaid James Gittings as is in the possession of the said Thomas Chamberlain and William Adams or their Tenants or of any other person claiming by or under his title, or in default thereof that judgment be entered against the now Defendant Thomas Troublesome the Casual Ejector, but that prosecution be staged against him untill he makes default in any of the premises; And it is further ruled by the Court here with Consent of Counsel that if by reason of such default the aforesaid Peter Easey Lessee of the aforesaid James Gittings shall become non suit at the trial the said Thomas Chamberlain and William Adams shall take no advantage thereof but shall pay to the aforesaid Peter Easey Lessee of the aforesaid James Gittings his Costs and so forth. And it is further ruled by the Court here that if upon the trial of the said issue a verdict shall be given for the said Thomas Chamberlain and William Adams or if the aforesaid Peter Easey Lessee of the said James Gittings shall not prosecute his Declaration, upon any other cause than for the not confessing lease entry and ouster as aforesaid then the aforesaid James Gittings Lessee of the aforesaid Peter Easey shall pay Costs and so forth. And thereupon the aforesaid Peter Easey Lessee of the aforesaid James Gittings by his attorney aforesaid files

(in)

in Court here his Declaration against the said Thomas Chamberlain and William Adams in the plea aforesaid in form following to wit.

In the General Court for the Western Shore of Maryland Baltimore County to wit. Thomas Chamberlain and William Adams late of Baltimore County yeomen were attached to answer unto Peter Easey of a plea wherefore with force and arms and so forth they entered into two Messuages one Barn one Stable one Garden one Orchard, ten acres of Meadow sixty acres of arable Land and ten acres of woodland being a Tract of Land called Chamberlain Meadows situate and lying in Baltimore County aforesaid with the appurtenances which a certain James Gittings to the said Peter did demise for a Term of years which is not yet past and him the said Peter from the Tenements aforesaid did Eject and other harms to him the said Peter there did to the great Damage of the said Peter and against the peace of the State of Maryland and so forth: And whereupon the said Peter Easey by Samuel Johnston his attorney complains that whereas the aforesaid James Gittings on the first day of January in the year of our Lord one Thousand seven hundred and ninety one, at Baltimore County aforesaid, Demised to him the said Peter Easey the Tenements aforesaid with the appurtenances thereunto belonging to have and to Occupy to the said Peter Easey and his assigns from the thirty first day of December then last past to the end and term of ten years then next following and fully to be compleat and ended; By virtue of which said Demise the said Peter into the tenements aforesaid with the appurtenances did enter and was thereof possessed, and so thereof being possessed the said Thomas Chamberlain and William Adams afterwards to wit on the second day of January aforesaid in the year aforesaid at the County aforesaid with force and arms and so forth into the Tenements aforesaid with the appurtenances which the aforesaid James Gittings in form aforesaid had demised to the said Peter Easey for the Term aforesaid, which is not yet past did enter and him the said Peter from the Tenements aforesaid did Eject expel and remove and other harms to him the said Peter then and there did to the great Damage of the said Peter and against the peace of the State of Maryland: whereupon the said Peter saith he is wrong and hath Damage to the value of two hundred pounds current money; and therefore he brings his suit and so forth.

Samuel Johnston attorney for Plaintiff pledges to prosecute } John Doe and Richard Roe.

Whereupon the said Thomas Chamberlain and William Adams by their attorney aforesaid defend the force and injury when and so forth and prays leave of the Court here to imparle untill the second Tuesday of May next and to them it is granted, the same day is given to the aforesaid Peter

(Easey)