Its costs and charges by it sustained about the prosecution of the said writ of the said State for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted and that the said state have thereof execution &c.

Test Wm Gwinn Clk.

State of Maryland vs David Brown

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit on the thirty first day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety one the said state of Maryland sued forth out of the High court of chancery the writ of the said State of Maryland for the correcting of errors directed to the honourable the judges of the court of oyer and Terminer for Baltimore County in the words and of the tenor following to wit.

Maryland ss. The State of Maryland To the Honble the judges of the court of oyer and terminer for Baltimore county Greeting. Because in the record and process and also in the rendering of Judgment on a certain presentment brought by the State of Maryland against David Brown of the County of Baltimore yeoman who not being licensed to retail Liquors did on the tenth day of December in the year of our Lord seventeen hundred and ninety at Baltimore County sell and retail to a certain Daniel Dennis one quart of Rum Contrary to the form of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided and against the peace Government and dignity of the said state, at a court of oyer and terminer held before you our said judges at Baltimore county manifest error as it is said has happened to the great damage of the said State as of its complaint we have received and we being willing that the errors if any there be, should be duly corrected and full and speedy justice done to the said State in this behalf command you that if judgment be thereof rendered that then the record and process aforesaid with all things thereunto relating under your seals distinctly and openly you send and this writ so that the same may be had before the judges of our General Court at Annapolis the second Tuesday of may next that the record and process aforesaid being inspected into we may further do therein for the correcting that error which of right and according to the laws and customs of this state may be done Witness the Honble Alexander Contee Hanson Chancellor the 31st day of March Anno Domini 1791.

Tam^s Harvey Howard Reg^r Cur. Can.

At

At which said second Tuesday of May being the tenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety one and the day of the return of the aforegoing writ the honourable the judges of oyer and Terminer for Baltimore County aforesaid to whom the said writ was directed in pursuance of the command of the said writ and in virtue of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided make return to the General court here of the writ aforesaid with a record of the proceedings thereloan annexed the tenor whereof is as follows to wit.

Baltimore County to wit, at a court of Oyer and Terminer and Gaol delivery held for Baltimore county the second Tuesday in March in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety one for the trial of all felonies and other crimes offences and Misdemeanours committed in the said county of Baltimore.

Present

John Moale
James Calhoun
Otho Holland Williams
George Goldsmith Presbury
George Talmon

} Gentlemen Justices

Thomas Rutter Esquire Sheriff. William Gibson Clk.

Among other were the following proceedings Viz^t.

State vs David Brown

Be it remembered that at court of oyer and terminer and Gaol delivery held at the Court house in Baltimore Town in and for the county aforesaid the second Tuesday in January in the year of our Lord seventeen hundred and ninety one by the oath of Andrew Skinner Ennals William M^Creary John Holmes James Sterling John Swann, Robert Walsh Andrew Buchanan Christopher Johnson John Stricker David Stewart Charles Torrence Edward Pannell Paul Bantalow Michael Diffindaffer, Martin Eichelberger Jacob Myers, Baltzer Shaffer John Pilridge and Marcus M^Causland Good and lawful men of the County aforesaid sworn and charged to enquire for the state of Maryland for the body of the said County it was presented in manner and form following, to wit, Baltimore County ss. The Jurors for the state of Maryland for the body of Baltimore County upon their oath present that David Brown late of the county aforesaid yeoman not being licensed to retail liquors did on the tenth day of December in the year of our Lord seventeen hundred and ninety at Baltimore county aforesaid sell and retail to a certain Daniel Dennis one quart of rum Contrary to the form of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided

and