The full and just sum of fifty pounds like money above mentioned at or upon the twenty eighth day of November Anno domini one thousand seven hundred ninety, and that without fraud or further delay then the above obligation to be void and of none effect else to remain in full force and virtue in Law.

Which being read and heard the said Alexander Joseph and William say that the aforesaid Joseph his action aforesaid thereof against them to have or maintain ought not because they say that they the aforesaid Alexander Joseph and William paid to the aforesaid Joseph fifty pounds in the condition aforesaid specified in and upon the twenty eighth day of November then next following after the date of the writing obligatory aforesaid at Washington County aforesaid which in and upon that day they ought to have paid according to the form and effect of that condition and this they are ready to verify wherefore they pray Judgment if the said Joseph his action aforesaid against them the aforesaid Alexander, Joseph and William to have ought and so forth.

And the said Joseph Norris saith that he by any thing by the said Alexander Thompson Joseph Chapline and William Good above in pleading alledged from having or maintaining his action aforesaid against them ought not to be precluded because he saith that the aforesaid Alexander Thompson Joseph Chapline and William Good did not pay unto him the said Joseph Norris the aforesaid sum of fifty pounds in the condition aforesaid specified in and upon the twenty eighth day of November then next following after the date of the writing obligatory aforesaid at Washington county aforesaid which in and upon that day they ought to have paid according to the form and effect of that condition in such manner and form as the said Alexander Thompson, Joseph Chapline and William Good above by Pleading have alledged and of this he prays may enquired of by the Country and the aforesaid Alexander Thompson Joseph Chapline and William Good in like manner and so forth.

Therefore let a jury thereupon appear before the judges of our General Court here on the second Tuesday of May next, by whom etc and who neither etc to recognize etc because as well etc the same day is given to the parties aforesaid there etc.

And now here at this day to wit the said second Tuesday of May being the fourteenth day of the same month Anno domini seventeen hundred and ninety three comes again here into our General Court as well the aforesaid

aforesaid Joseph Norris by his attorney aforesaid as the aforesaid Alexander Thompson Joseph Chapline and William Good by Baker Johnson and John Griffith Worthington their attornies, Nevertheless the aforesaid Alexander Thompson Joseph Chapline and William Good by John Griffith Worthington their attorney aforesaid relinquishing the averment by them pretended say that they cannot deny the action aforesaid of the aforesaid Joseph Norris so as aforesaid against them brought nor but that they owe to the aforesaid Joseph Norris the aforesaid sum of one hundred pounds current money in manner and form aforesaid so as the aforesaid Joseph Norris above against them hath declared Therefore it is considered by the judges here that the aforesaid Joseph Norris recover against the said Alexander Thompson Joseph Chapline and William Good as well the sum of one hundred pounds Current money his debt aforesaid in form aforesaid confessed as also the quantity of twelve hundred and forty one pounds of Tobacco by the court here unto the said Joseph Norris on his absent adjudged as well for his damages sustained by reason of the detention of that debt as for his costs and charges by him about his suit in this behalf laid out and expended and the said Defendants in mercy and so forth.

Memorandum Judgment was rendered in this cause on the fifteenth day of May seventeen hundred and ninety three for penalty and costs of suit, and the Plaintiff agrees to release the penalty upon the payment of principal Interest and costs and agrees to stay execution untill the first day of August next payments if any to be allowed.

Test Jno Gwinn Clk.

Adam Ott against James Chapline

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit on the twenty fourth day of February Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety two the defendant by Baker Johnson his attorney sued out of our General Court here the state of Maryland's writ of Habeas Corpus directed to the Justices and sheriff of Washington County in the words and figures following to wit.

The State of Maryland ss. To the Justices and sheriff of Washington County greeting. Whereas a certain James Chapline is detained in the prison of our said County under the custody of you our said sheriff at the suit of a certain Adam Ott of a plea of Debt and so forth, which said Plea as it is said still depends before you our said Justices undetermined and we being willing for divers causes that the plea aforesaid, undetermined as aforesaid should be heard and determined before the judges of our general court therefore we command you that you have the body of the said James Chapline by whatsoever name or names he my be called in the plea aforesaid