and James Dall of Baltimore Town or to their Assigns the full and just sum of Eight hundred pounds Maryland Currency with legal Interest for value received as witness my hand and seal at Piccawaxon in Charles County and State of Maryland this 17th day of December 1792. —

Test Robert Miller. James Neale (of B) (Seal)

At which mentioned second Tuesday in May being the fourteenth day of the same Month in the Year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and ninety three and the day of the return of the aforegoing Writ, comes into the General Court the aforesaid John Heathcote and James Dall by their Attorney aforesaid; and the Sheriff of Charles County to wit James Simms Gentleman to whom the said aforegoing Writ was in form aforesaid directed likewise comes and makes return thereof to the Court here thus indorsed to wit:

Exec. Copy tes 17th April James Simms, Sheriff.

And the said James Neale by John Campbell his Attorney appears in Court here, And thereupon on Motion of the said John Heathcote and James Dall by their Attorney aforesaid, It is ruled by the Court here that the said James Neale give special Bail to the action aforesaid in the plea aforesaid, Whereupon the said James Neale by his Attorney aforesaid files in Court here the following Bail Piece, to wit:

John Heathcote and James Dall — Plaintiffs against James Neale of Bennett — Defendant.	You Bennett Neale do jointly and severally acknowledge yourselves special Bail for the said James Neale of Bennett at the Suit of the said John Heathcote and James Dall in an

action of Debt brought by the said John Heathcote and James Dall against the said James Neale of Bennett in the General Court — They acknowledge themselves to be content therewith this seventh day of May in the Year seventeen hundred and ninety three.

Wm. D Briscoe
James Freeman

To the Honourable the Judges of the General Court. —

I hereby authorize and impower John Campbell Esquire to appear for me as my Attorney in the above Suit.

James Neale (of Bt.)

To John Campbell Esquire.

And the said James Neale by his Attorney aforesaid appears in Court here and thereupon on motion of the aforesaid John Heathcote and James Dall by their Attorney aforesaid, It is ruled by the Court here that the aforesaid James

James Neale answer to the declaration aforesaid of the aforesaid John Heathcote and James Dall in the plea aforesaid; or Judgment by the Court here will be entered against him in default thereof; and the said James Neale by his Attorney aforesaid defends the force and injury when and so forth, and prays leave of the Court here to imparle until the second Tuesday of October next and then to answer unto the Declaration aforesaid of the aforesaid John Heathcote and James Dall in the plea aforesaid and to him it is granted, the same day is given to the aforesaid John Heathcote and James Dall also. —

At which said second Tuesday of October being the eighth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and ninety three to which said day the said James Dall had leave to imparle and then to answer unto the declaration aforesaid of the aforesaid John Heathcote and James Dall in the plea aforesaid; comes into the General Court here as well the aforesaid John Heathcote and James Dall by their Attorney aforesaid as the said James Neale by his Attorney aforesaid; And the said James Neale as before defends the force and injury when and so forth and saith that the aforesaid John Heathcote and James Dall their Action aforesaid against him the said James Neale to have or maintain ought not and so forth, because he saith that he the said James Neale did pay unto the aforesaid John Heathcote and James Dall the said sum of Money in the Condition of the said Writing Obligatory mentioned with legal Interest thereon according to the form and effect of the said writing Obligatory and this he is ready to verify, wherefore he prays Judgment if the aforesaid John Heathcote and James Dall their action aforesaid against him to have or maintain ought and so forth. —

And the aforesaid John Heathcote and James Dall by their Attorney aforesaid saith that they by any thing by the said James Neale above in pleading alledged from having or maintaining their Action aforesaid against him ought not to be precluded because they saith the said James Neale did not pay to them the aforesaid John Heathcote and James Dall the aforesaid sum of Money in the Condition aforesaid mentioned with the Interest thereon in such manner and form as the said James Neale above by pleading hath alledged, and this he prays may be enquired of by the Country, and the said James Neale in like manner and so forth.

Therefore let a Jury thereon appear before the Court here on the second Tuesday in May next, by whom and so forth, and who neither and so forth, to recognize and so forth, because as well and so forth, the