prays leave of the Court here to imparle until the second Tuesday of May next and he hath it the same day is given, to the aforesaid Lewis also.

At which said second Tuesday in May being the fourteenth day of the same month in the Year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and ninety three; comes again into the General Court here as were the aforesaid Lewis by his Attorney aforesaid, as the aforesaid John by his Attorney aforesaid; and the aforesaid John by his Attorney aforesaid, prays further leave of the Court here to imparle until the second Tuesday of October next, and he hath it, the same day is given to the aforesaid Lewis also.

At which said second Tuesday in October being the eighth day of the same Month in the Year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and ninety three, comes again into the General Court here as well the aforesaid Lewis by his Attorney aforesaid, as the aforesaid John by his Attorney aforesaid; and thereupon on motion of the aforesaid Lewis by his Attorney aforesaid, It is ruled by the Court here that the aforesaid John answer to the declaration aforesaid of the aforesaid Lewis in the plea aforesaid, or Judgment by the Court will be entered against him in default thereof; and the said John by his Attorney aforesaid, defends the force and injury when and so forth, and prays leave of the Court here to imparle until the second Tuesday of May next, and then to answer unto the declaration aforesaid of the aforesaid Lewis in the plea aforesaid, and to him it is granted; the same day is given to the aforesaid Lewis also.

And now at this day, to wit, the said second Tuesday of May being the thirteenth day of the same Month in the Year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and ninety four comes again into the General Court here as well the aforesaid Lewis by his Attorney aforesaid as the aforesaid John by his Attorney aforesaid; and the aforesaid John by his Attorney aforesaid says that he cannot deny the Action aforesaid of the aforesaid Lewis so as aforesaid against him brought nor but that he the said Lewis hath sustained to ..... the aforesaid sum of three hundred ..... pounds ..... current Money in manner and form aforesaid, so as the aforesaid Lewis above against him hath declared.

Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the aforesaid Lewis recover against the said John as well the sum of Three hundred pounds current money his damages aforesaid in form aforesaid confessed to be sustained by occasion of the nonperformance of the promise aforesaid, as the quantity of Seven hundred and seventeen Pounds of Tobacco by the Court here unto the aforesaid Lewis on his assent adjudged ~~for his damages sustained, as well by reason of the detention of that Debt as~~ for his costs and charges by him about his suit in this behalf laid out and expended And the said John in Mercy and so forth.

Memorandum. Judgment rendered in this cause on the twenty second day of May in the Year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and ninety four, for the Damages in the Nsu and Costs to be released on payment of One hundred pound five shillings current money with Interest on fifty eight pound eighteen shillings and three pence current Money from this day until paid and Costs, and the Plaintiff agrees to stay Execution to the first day of September next.

Test, John Govirn, Clerk.

Richard Ridgely and William Hammond against David Hopkins —	Be it Remembered, that heretofore, to wit, on the twenty fifth day of February in the Year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and ninety four the said

Richard Ridgely and William Hammond by Philip Barton Key their Attorney sued out of the General Court here the writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad respondendum directed to the Sheriff of Ann Arundel County in the words and figures following, to wit;

The State of Maryland, fs. To the Sheriff of Ann Arundel County, Greeting. We command you that you take David Hopkins late of Ann Arundel County, Gentleman otherwise called David Hopkins of Ann Arundel County if he shall be found in your bailiwick, and him safe keep, so that you have his body before the Judges of our General Court, to be held at the City of Annapolis on the second Tuesday of May next, to answer unto Richard Ridgely and William Hammond of a plea that he render unto them the full and just sum of Two hundred and One pounds eight shillings and three pence Current Money which to them he owes and unjustly detains and so forth. Hereof fail not at your peril, and have you then and there this writ. Witness the honourable Samuel Chase Esquire, chief Judge of our said Court, the 12th day of November Anno Domini 1793. Issued the 28 day of February 1794. (PBK) John Govirn Clk.

And the aforesaid Richard Ridgely and William Hammond by their Attorney aforesaid on the day of the issuing the aforegoing writ, filed in Court here the following Declaration and Oyer of Bond a Copy whereof was made and sent with the said Writ to the Sheriff of the County aforesaid, to wit;

Western Shore of Maryland Ann Arundel County, fs. David Hopkins late of Anne Arundel County Gentleman, otherwise called David Hopkins of Anne Arundel County was summoned to answer unto Richard Ridgely and William Hammond of a plea that he render unto them the full and just sum of two hundred and One pounds eight shillings and three pence Current Money which to them he

owes,