above for Errors assigned, and that the aforesaid Judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth. But because the Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second Tuesday of October next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the Court now here thereof are not advised and so forth.

And now at this day, to wit, the said second Tuesday of October being the fourteenth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety four comes again into the General Court here as well the aforesaid Richard Lawrence by his Attorney aforesaid as the said Devall Crowell by his Attorney aforesaid, Whereupon as well the Record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Richard Lawrence above for Errors assigned being seen, heard and fully understood by the Court and mature deliberation had thereupon for that it appears to the Court here that there is no Error either in the Record and Proceedings aforesaid or in giving the Judgment aforesaid, Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the Judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed. And it is further considered that the said Devall Crowell recover against the said Richard Lawrence his damages, costs, and charges aforesaid so as aforesaid rendered in the said County Court of Ann Arundel as also the quantity of one thousand three hundred and thirty pounds of Tobacco for his Costs and charges which he hath sustained by Occasion of the delay of the Execution of the Judgment aforesaid by means of the prosecuting the said Writ of Error by the said Richard Lawrence, and that he the said Devall Crowell have thereof Execution and so forth.

Memorandum, Judgment affirmed in this cause by the Court on the twenty first day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety four. Test. John Gwinn Clk.

120. Elizabeth Harrison vs The State of Maryland

Be it remembered that on the second Tuesday of October being the eighth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety three the Worshipful the Chief Justice and Associate Justices of Saint Marys County transmitted to the Honourable the Judges of the General Court here the Record and proceedings on an Appeal before them made by the said Elizabeth Harrison, the Tenor whereof ensues in the words and figures following, to wit"

Saint Marys County, to wit. August Term 1793.

At a County Court held at the Court House in Leonard Town in and for the County aforesaid on the first Monday and fifth day of August in the eighteenth year of the State and so forth, and in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred

hundred and ninety three

Before

The Honourable | Michael Jenifer Stone Esquire Chief Justice
and John De Butts and William Kilgour Esquires | Associate Justices

In and for the County aforesaid, thereunto duly authorized, commissioned & sworn

Francis Hamersley Esqr. Sheriff Timothy Bowes Clk.

Among other were the following Proceedings, to wit,

State of Maryland vs Elizabeth Harrison

Bill filed not guilty and Issue,

Saint Marys County, to wit, The Jurors for the State of Maryland for the Body of Saint Mary's County upon their Oath, do present, that Elizabeth Harrison late of Saint Mary's County Spinster on the tenth day of October in the year one thousand seven hundred and ninety one at Saint Mary's County aforesaid, did trade, barter, commerce, and deale with a certain Negro Richard the Slave of Sepe Wharton for one Bushel of Wheat she the said Elizabeth Harrison not having first had and obtained, any leave or licence from the said Negro Richards Master, Mistress, Dame, or Overseer, contrary to the form of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, and against the peace, Government and dignity of the State.

Francis Digges, Prosecutor. True Bill John Cartwright Foreman

Thereupon came a Jury, to wit, Nicholas Sewall Junr John Blackistone, Clement Gardener, William Mills, William Hammett, Charles Neale, Zephaniah King, James Patterson, Augustin Spalding, James McWilliams, Robert Greenwell, Harry Naughop, who being tried and sworn to say the truth of and upon the premises, upon their Oaths do say, that the said Elizabeth Harrison is Guilty of the fact charged against her in the Indictment aforesaid.

And now here (to wit, on the tenth day of August in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety three comes the said Elizabeth Harrison by John A Thomas her Attorney and defends the force and Injury when and so forth, and prays and has liberty to file the following reasons in Arrest of Judgment

State of Maryland vs Elizabeth Harrison

Indictment for dealing with a Negro Slave &c

Reasons in Arrest of Judgment.

1st Because an Indictment does not lie for this Offence.

2nd Because the said Indictment is erroneous and wants form.

J A Thomas for Elizabeth Harrison.

And now here to wit, on the day and year last aforesaid comes as well the said State of Maryland by Francis Digges its Attorney as the said Elizabeth Harrison by John A Thomas her Attorney and prays an Appeal from the verdict aforesaid to the Honourable the Judges of the General Court of the Western Shore which is granted by the Honourable the Justices now here, and the Bond presented, approved and filed close in these words following, to wit.

Know all Men by these Presents that we Francis Hamersley & John Allen Thomas