County aforesaid to whom the said aforegoing Writ was in form aforesaid directed makes return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed, to wit" Cepi Wm Jno: Jackson Shff"
Thereupon the said John Magruder Burgess by William Kitty his Attorney appears in Court here and with the Consent of the said Francis Bracroft and Thomas Brooke Hodgkin and the said John Magruder Burgess by their Attorneys aforesaid, It is ordered by the Court here that the said Execution shall not be called, And thereupon the said Execution by consent of the said parties is not called and so forth.

Test. John Grinn Clk.

5 3/4

Francis Bracroft and Thomas Brooke Hodgkin use of James Carey against Charles Burgess	Be It remembered that heretofore, to wit on the twentieth day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety four the said Francis Bracroft and Thomas Brooke Hodgkin by William Cooke their Attorney prosecuted and sued forth out of the General Court here of and upon a Judgment in the said Court rendered

the Writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad ~~respondendum~~ satisfaciendum directed to the Sheriff of Prince Georges County in the words and figures following, to wit.
The State of Maryland, &c. To the Sheriff of Prince Georges County, Greeting. We command you that you take Charles Burgess late of Prince Georges County Planter, otherwise called Charles Burgess of Prince Georges County in the State of Maryland Planter if he shall be found in your Bailwick and him safe keep so that you have his body before the Judges of our General Court to be held at the City of Annapolis on the second Tuesday of October next to satisfy unto Francis Bracroft and Thomas Brooke Hodgkin as well the Sum of two hundred and ten pounds eighteen Shillings and four pence Current money a certain debt which they recovered against him before the Judges of our General Court on the second Tuesday of October Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety two as also the quantity of eight eight hundred and eleven pounds of Tobacco which was then and there adjudged unto them the said Francis Bracroft and Thomas Brooke Hodgkin as well for their damages sustained by means of detaining that debt, as for their Costs and Charges by them about their Suit in that behalf laid out and expended, whereof he the said Charles Burgess is convicted as of Record is manifest. Thereof fail not at your peril and have you then and there this Writ. Witness the Honourable Samuel Chase Esquire Chief Judge of our said Court the thirty first day of May Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety four.

Issued the 20th day of June 1794. Wm. Jno Grinn Clk

On the Back of the aforegoing Writ was thus endorsed, to wit "Debt £210 .. 18 .. 11 Ctng. Costs 811 Tob. Court of Appls Costs 1120 Tob. adc. 36 Tob. To be released on part of £105 .. 9 .. 2 Currt Mny. & Interest from the 17th day of March 1791. & Costs"
At which said second Tuesday of October being the fourteenth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety four and the day of the return of the aforegoing Writ comes here into the General Court the said Francis Bracroft, and Thomas Brooke Hodgkin by their Attorney aforesaid, And the Sheriff of the County aforesaid to whom the said aforegoing Writ was in form aforesaid directed makes return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed, to wit.

"Cepi Wm Jno Jackson Shff"

Thereupon

Thereupon the said Charles Burgess by William Kitty his Attorney appears in Court here, and with the consent of the said Francis Bracroft and Thomas Brooke Hodgkin and the said Charles Burgess by their Attornies aforesaid, It is ordered by the Court that the said Execution shall not be called, And thereupon the said Execution is not called and so forth.

Test John Grinn Clk.

5 3/4

Clement Biddle use of Daniel Dulany against Bernard Oniell and Charles Beatty	Be It remembered that heretofore, to wit on the thirteenth day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety four the said Clement Biddle by William Cooke his Attorney prosecuted and sued forth out of the General Court here of and upon a Judgment in the said Court rendered the Writ of the State of Maryland

of Capias ad ~~respondendum~~ satisfaciendum, directed to the Sheriff of Montgomery County in the words and figures following, to wit.
The State of Maryland, &c. To the Sheriff of Montgomery County, Greeting. We command you that you take Bernard Oniell and Charles Beatty late of Montgomery County Gentlemen, otherwise called Bernard Oniell and Charles Beatty of Montgomery County and State of Maryland if they shall be found in your Bailwick, and them safe keep so that you have their bodies before the Judges of our General Court to be held at the City of Annapolis on the second Tuesday of October next to, satisfy unto Clement Biddle as well the Sum of seven hundred and forty five pounds fourteen Shillings Current money a certain debt, which he recovered against them before the Judges of our General Court on the second Tuesday of May Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety three, as also the quantity of eight hundred and sixty two pounds of Tobacco which was then and there adjudged unto them the said Clement Biddle as well for his damages sustained by means of detaining that debt, as for his Costs and Charges by him about his Suit in that behalf laid out and expended whereof they the said Bernard Oniell and Charles Beatty are convicted as of Record is manifest. Thereof fail not at your peril and have you then and there this Writ. Witness the Honourable Samuel Chase Esquire Chief Judge of our said Court the thirty first day of May Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety four.

Issued the 30th day of July 1794. Wm. Jno Grinn Clk.

On the Back of the aforegoing Writ was thus endorsed, to wit, "Debt £745 .. 14 .. 0 Ctng. Costs 862 Tob. adc. 106 Tob. To be released on part of £372 .. 17 .. 0 Ctng. with Int. thereon from the 14th day of November 1788 & Costs. Paid 12 May 1794. 560 Dollars"
At which said second Tuesday of October being the fourteenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety four and the day of the return of the aforegoing Writ comes here into the General Court the said Clement Biddle by his Attorney aforesaid, And the Sheriff of the County aforesaid to whom the said aforegoing Writ was in form aforesaid directed, makes return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed, to wit.

"Cepi Bernard, Not Est Charles Sol. Holland Sheriff

Thereupon the said Bernard Oniell by John Ll Gantt his Attorney appears in Court here and with the consent of the said Clement Biddle, and Bernard Oniell by their Attorneys It is ordered by the Court here that the said Execution shall not be called, And thereupon the said Execution by consent of the said parties is not called and so forth.

Test John Grinn Clk