College when they shall be duly authorised to receive the same the sums annexed by us to our Respective names, to be applied towards founding and carrying on the said College which sums so subscribed we bind ourselves severally our Heirs Executors and Administrators to pay in three Different Instalments or Payments Viz. one third part of the sum subscribed to be paid on the first day of June 1785 another third part on the first day of June 1786 and the Remainder on the first day of June 1787. Provided that no Essential Alteration be made in the proposed Draught of the Law aforesaid. Annapolis 16th December 1784.

John Grahame and John Weems Esquires Walter Smith, Thomas Mackall and Levin Mackall Samuel Chew and Edward Reynolds Esquires are Hereby requested and authorized as well Personally as by such of their Friends as they may think Proper to solicit and Receive Subscriptions agreeable to the Tenor of the foregoing Articles for founding a College &c &c

Copy of the Annapolis Subscription the first Day Viz. the 16th day of December 1784. } George Diggies, Richd. Sprigg, Wm. Smith

	Specie		
William Paca	£100	James Williams	£35
Richard Sprigg	100	John Muir	35
Charles Wallace	50	Frederick Green	35
George Slater	50	John Grahame	35
Thomas Stone	100	Michael Taney	35
Chd. Carroll of Carrollton	200	Alexander Frazier	35
Philip Key	40	so far is a Copy from part of the Annapolis subscription paper	
George Digges	100		
Samuel Chase	50	Samuel Chew	40
Rind. Johnson	50	Edward Reynolds	50
Allen Quynn	22.10	William Chew	25
William Stewart	25	Wm. H. Reynolds	30
Thomas Harwood	50	Gavin Hamilton Smith	15
T. Yates	35	Daniel Kent	15
J. H. Stone	35	Joseph Sprigg thirty pounds	30
William Hyde	30	Rezn. Magruder five pounds	
John Price	50	J. W. Somervell Ten pounds	
Thomas Jennings	35		

And it was admitted by the Counsel for the Defendant that (the)

the Defendant signed the same also shewed to the Court the following Terms filed in this cause signed by Walter Dorsey Council for the Plaintiffs and John M Gantt Esquire Council for the Defendant which was also admitted by the Council for the Defendant, to wit, We agree that any Depositions taken on ten days notice given to John M. Gantt or William Hilly if taken on the part of the Plaintiffs and William Marbury if Taken on the part of the Defendant may be read in Evidence in the Tryal of this cause and that the Cause shall be tried next Court without a Legal cause of Continuance be Assigned, Provided a Copy of the amended Declaration is sent John M Gantt or William Hilly a fortnight before next Court, and it is also agreed that the Declaration in this cause shall be amended.

John M Gantt. } for the Defendant
William Hilly } for the Defendant
Walter Dorsey for Plaintiffs

Also the following Notice. To John M Gantt Esquire Viz. Sir Take notice that I shall meet at the House of Archibald Golder in the City of Annapolis on the Eighteenth day of April 1794 to take Depositions to be read in Evidence in an Action Brought in Calvert County Court by the Visitors and Governors of Saint Johns College in the State of Maryland against Edward Reynolds William Marbury Agent for the Visitors and Governors of Saint Johns College in the State of Maryland George Town April 2nd 1794

Serd. a Copy on Jn. M Gantt 2nd April 1794.

a Copy of which notice the Defendants Council John M Gantt Esq. admitted was Received by him on the second day of April 1794 and Produces in Evidence the following Deposition taken under the said Terms filed and notice for taking Depositions aforesaid in support of the Issue Joined in this Cause on part of the Plaintiffs.

The Deposition of Archibald Golder of the City of Annapolis being of Law full age and duly Sworn on the Holy Evangels of Almighty God deposeth and saith that on or about the 16th day of June 1789 he applied to William Chew of Calvert County for the payment of his Subscription to Saint Johns College that the said Chew answered him that he had no money but would be at Annapolis soon and would pay him or words to that Effect and that on or about the 16th day of September 1789. He this deponent again applied to the said William Chew for the payment due as aforesaid He the said William Chew answered that he had come to Court to receive a sum (of money)