said David Sheilds above against him hath complained, and of this he puts himself upon the country and the said David Sheilds in like manner and so forth. Therefore let a Jury thereon appear before the court here on the Second Tuesday of May next, by whom &c. and who neither &c. to recognize &c. because as well &c. the same day is given to the parties aforesaid there and here &c.

And Now at this day to wit the said Second Tuesday of May being the tenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one Thousand Seven hundred and ninety Six. Come again into the General Court here as well the said David Sheilds by his attorney aforesaid as the said Frederick Yeiser by his attorney aforesaid, and the said Frederick Yeiser by his attorney aforesaid relinquishing the argument aforesaid above by him pretended says that he cannot deny the the action aforesaid of the said David Sheilds so as aforesaid against him brought nor but that the said David Sheilds hath sustained Damage to the sum of four Hundred Pounds current money, by Occasion of the non performance of the promises and assumption aforesaid in manner and form as the aforesaid David Sheilds above against him hath declared.

Therefore it is considered by the court here that the said David Sheilds recover against the said Frederick Yeiser as well the sum of four hundred pounds current money, his Damages aforesaid in form aforesaid confessed as the quantity of seven hundred and eighty one pounds of Tobacco by the court here and here on his assent adjudged for his costs and charges by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the said Frederick Yeiser in mercy and so forth.

Memorandum, on the Eleventh day of May in the year of our Lord one Thousand Seven hundred and ninety six. Judgment was rendered in this Cause for the Sum of four Hundred pounds Current money Damages and Costs of suit To be released on the payment of seven hundred and fifty Dollars with Interest thereon from the twenty second day of October one Thousand Seven hundred and ninety four and Costs

Test John Gwinn Clk.

John Chambers against Jonathan Sellman and Andrew Wallace	Case Judgment for twelve Hundred pounds current money Damages & Costs To be released on payment of nine hundred and fifty Dollars with Interest from 2d October 1794 Costs — Cost — 790 Tobo: Satisfied as pr Docket October 1796 Judicials, No 431 Test John Gwinn Clk.
William Sefrop Abraham Sefrop and George Baxley against Asa Allen	Be it remembered that heretofore to wit on the fourth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety five. William Sefrop, Abraham Sefrop and George Baxley by Robert Smith their attorney said out of the General Court here the writ of the State of Maryland of capias ad respondendum directed to the sheriff of Baltimore County in form following to wit.

The State of Maryland Ss. To the sheriff of Baltimore County Greeting. We command you that you take Asa Allen late of Baltimore County yeoman if he shall be found in your bailiwick and him safe keep so that you have his body before the Judges of our General Court to be held at the city of Annapolis on the second Tuesday of may next, to answer unto William Sefrop, Abraham Sefrop and George Baxley of a plea of Trespass on the case and so forth. Hereof fail not at your peril and have you there and there this writ. Witness the honourable Samuel Chase Esquire chief Judge of our said court the 16th day of February anno Domini 1795.

Issued the 4th day of March 1795. (Ss) Jnt Gwinn Clk.

And the said William Sefrop, Abraham Sefrop and George Baxley by their attorney aforesaid on the day of the date of the aforegoing writ, declared against the said Asa Allen in the plea aforesaid in form following to wit.

General Court, Western Shore of Maryland Baltimore County Ss.

Asa Allen late of Baltimore County yeoman was attached to answer William Sefrop, Abraham Sefrop and George Baxley of a plea of Trespass on the case and so forth. And whereupon the said William, Abraham and George by Robert Smith their attorney complain that the said Asa after the first day of May in the year seventeen hundred and five, to wit on the first day of August in the year seventeen Hundred and ninety four at the county aforesaid did make his certain note in writing commonly called a promissory note bearing date the same day and year last mentioned with his proper name and hand thereto subscribed and the same note to the said William Abraham and George then and there delivered and by the same

(note)