that Judgment was given for the said Thomas Cornelius against the said Thomas Dorsey administrator of Joseph Davis when by the Law of the Land it ought to have been given for the said Thomas Dorsey against the said Thomas Cornelius; and therein he in that it is manifestly erroneous; and he prays that the said Judgment for that error and others being in the record & proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled, and held as entirely void: and that the said Thomas Cornelius may rejoin to the error aforesaid and so forth.

And the said Thomas Dorsey administrator as aforesaid by his attorney aforesaid prays that the said Thomas Cornelius Howard may join in the error by the said Thomas Dorsey above assigned in the record and proceedings of the judgment aforesaid, or Judgment by the court here will be rendered against him in default thereof; and the said Thomas Cornelius Howard by his said attorney pray a further day in court here untill the second Tuesday of may next to join in the error by the said Thomas Dorsey above assigned in the record and proceedings aforesaid, and he hath it; the same day is given to the said Thomas Dorsey administrator as aforesaid also.

At which said second Tuesday of May being the twelfth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one Thousand seven hundred and ninety five come again the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid. and the said Thomas Cornelius Howard by his attorney aforesaid says that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in giving the judgment aforesaid. and he prays that the said court here may proceed to examine as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid alow for errors assigned, and that the judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid given may be in all things affirmed and so forth: But because the court here are not yet advised to give their judgment of and upon the premises day thereof is given to the said parties untill the second Tuesday of October next to hear their judgment of and upon those premises, because the court now here thereof are not yet advised &c.

At which said second Tuesday of October being the thirteenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one Thousand seven hundred and ninety five. come again into the general court here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: Whereupon for that the court here are not advised to give their judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid day thereof is further given to the said parties to hear thereof their judgment untill the second Tuesday of May next for that the said court here as yet are not advised &c.

And now at this day to wit the said second Tuesday of may being the tenth day of the same month in the year of our (Lord)

Lord one Thousand seven hundred and ninety six. come again into the general court here as well the said Thomas Dorsey administrator of Joseph Davis of Lake by his attorney aforesaid as the said Thomas Howard by his attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the judgment thereupon given as the matters aforesaid by the said Thomas Dorsey administrator as aforesaid above for errors assigned, being seen. and by the court here now fully understood. and mature deliberation being thereupon had, for that it appears to the court here that in the record and proceedings aforesaid, and also in the rendition of the judgment aforesaid it is manifestly erred: Therefore it is considered by the court here that the judgment aforesaid. for those errors and others in the record and proceedings aforesaid be reversed annulled, and held entirely as void, and that the said Thomas Dorsey administrator as aforesaid be restored to all things which, by reason of the judgment aforesaid, he hath lost and that he the said Thomas Dorsey administrator as aforesaid go thence without day and so forth it is also considered by the court here that the said Thomas Dorsey administrator as aforesaid recover against the said Thomas Cornelius Howard the quantity of seven hundred and twenty six pounds of Tobacco adjudged unto the said Thomas Dorsey administrator as aforesaid for his costs and charges by him sustained about his prosecution of the said Writ of the said State for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid presented and that the said Thomas Dorsey administrator as aforesaid have thereof execution and so forth.

Test John Quinn Clk.

John Forbes against Francis Hickey	Debt. Judgment for £252 11s 4d current money & Costs to be released on payment of one hundred and twenty six pounds five shillings and two pence three farthings current money with interest from 30th September 1793 Costs £7 18 10½. Satisfied plaintiff at Docket May Term 1801 Judicial c. 1966. Test John Quinn Clk.
John Stevenson against Ignatius Fenwick	Be it remembered, that heretofore to wit on the twentieth day of December in the year of our Lord one Thousand seven hundred and ninety four the said John Stevenson sued forth out of the high court of chancery the writ of the state of Maryland for the correcting of errors, directed to the honourable the chief justice and associate justices of Baltimore County court in the words and of the tenor following to wit. Maryland ss. The state of Maryland to the Honorable the chief justice and associate justices of Baltimore County court greeting because in the record and process and also in the rendering of judgment on a certain action brought Ignatius Fenwick for Henry Ch Carroll against Jo hn Stevenson for the sum of Thirty nine pounds two shillings and four pence current money Debt and Costs of suit To be released on payment of nineteen pounds (eleven)