John Wilmot do and shall well and truly pay or cause to be paid unto the said Mary Boyle her certain attorney, Executors administrators or assigns the full and just sum of one hundred pounds Specie, on or before the day of with legal Interest thereon; then the above obligation to be void else to remain in full force and virtue in Law.

Which being read and heard the said John saith that the said Mary ought not to have or maintain her action aforesaid thereof against him because he saith that before the day of the Impetration of the writ Original of her the said Mary to wit, on the first day of January, in the year one thousand seven hundred and Eighty eight he paid to her the said Mary the aforesaid sum of money specified in the Condition of the writing obligatory aforesaid with the Interest due thereon, which according to the form and effect of the Condition he ought to have paid and this he is ready to verify wherefore he prays Judgment if the said Mary ought to have or maintain her action aforesaid against him and soforth.

And the aforesaid Mary Boyle saith that she by any thing by the said John Wilmot above in pleading alledged from having or maintaining her Action aforesaid against him ought not to be precluded because she says that before the day of the Impetration of the writ original of her the said Mary Boyle, to wit, on the first day of January in the year one thousand seven hundred and Eighty Eight the aforesaid John Wilmot did not pay unto her the said Mary Boyle the aforesaid sum of money specified in the Condition of the writing obligatory aforesaid with the Interest due thereon in such manner and form as the aforesaid John Wilmot above by pleading hath alledged and of this she prays may be enquired of by the Country and the aforesaid John Wilmot in like manner &c.

Therefore let a Jury thereupon appear before the Judges of our General Court here, on the second Tuesday of May next by whom &c. and who neither &c. to recognize &c. because as well &c the same day is given to the Parties aforesaid then and there &c.

And now here at this day, to wit, the said second Tuesday of May being the tenth day of the same month Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety six comes again here into our General Court as well the aforesaid Mary Boyle by her attorney aforesaid as the aforesaid John Wilmot by Richard Ridgely his attorney.

Nevertheless the aforesaid John Wilmot by Richard Ridgely his said attorney

Attorney relinquishing the averment aforesaid above by him pretended says that he cannot deny the action aforesaid of the aforesaid Mary Boyle so as aforesaid against him brought, nor but that he owes to the aforesaid Mary Boyle the aforesaid sum of two hundred pounds Current money in manner and form aforesaid so as aforesaid Mary Boyle above against him hath declared.

Therefore it is considered by the Judges here that the said Mary Boyle recover against the aforesaid John Wilmot as well the sum of two hundred pounds Current money her Debt aforesaid in form aforesaid confessed as also the quantity of Eight hundred and thirty one pounds of Tobacco by the Court here into the said Mary Boyle on her assent adjudged as well for her damages sustained By reason of the detention of that Debt as for her costs and charges by her about her suit in this behalf laid out and expended and the aforesaid John Wilmot in mercy &c.

Memorandum, Judgment was rendered in this Cause on the sixteenth day of May Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety six, for penalty and Costs of Suit: And the plaintiff agrees to release the penalty upon the Payment of Principal Interest and Costs; and agrees to release the penalty upon the payment of principal interest and Costs; and agrees to stay Execution untill the first day of August next; payments if any to be allowed.

Test John Quinn Clk.

J. N. Daniel Hughes and Samuel Hughes
against
P. B. K. Jacob Mung

Be it remembered that heretofore, to wit, on the third day of June Anno domini seventeen hundred and ninety four, the Plaintiffs by John Thomson Mason their attorney, sued out of our General Court the writ of the State of Maryland of Capias adrespondendum directed to the sheriff of Washington County in the words and figures following, to wit.

The State of Maryland, ss. To the sheriff of Washington County greeting. We Command you that you take Jacob Mung late of Washington County Gunsmith if he shall be found in your bailiwick and him safe keep so that you have his body before the Judges of our General Court to be held at the City of Annapolis on the second Tuesday of October next to answer unto Daniel Hughes and Samuel Hughes of a plea of Trespass on the Case and so forth, hereof fail not at your peril and have you then and there this writ. Witness the Honourable Samuel Chase Esquire Chief Judge of our said Court