Jonathan Hagar and Barnett McNitt of Washington county are held and firmly bound unto Peter Slusser in the sum of Three hundred pounds Current money to be paid unto him the said Peter Slusser his certain Attorney Executors administrators or Assigns: To the which payment well and truly to be made and done we bind ourselves our heirs, Executors and administrators jointly and severally firmly by these presents, Sealed with our Seals and dated this 21st. day of April 1796.

Whereas the said Peter Slusser at April Term 1796. obtained a Judgment in Washington County Court against the said Joseph Chapline for the sum of one hundred pounds twelve shillings and ten pence Current money and Costs of suit from which Judgment the said Joseph Chapline has appealed to the General Court.

Now the Condition of the above obligation is such, that if the above bound Joseph Chapline the party appellant shall not pursue the directions of the Act of Assembly entitled "An act for regulating writs of Error and granting appeals from and to the Courts of Common Law within this province" at the next General Court to be held at the City of Annapolis the Court before whom such appeal ought to be Tried as aforesaid and prosecute the same Appeal with effect and also satisfy and pay to the said party Peter Slusser his Executors, Administrators or Assigns in Case the said Judgment shall be affirmed as well all and singular the Debts Damages and Costs adjudged by the Court before whom such action was first brought and from whose Judgment such appeal was made as aforesaid as aforesaid as also all Costs and damages that shall be awarded by the Court before whom such appeal shall be heard tried and determined as aforesaid, then the above obligation to be and remain in full force and virtue, otherwise of no effect

Signed Sealed & delivered in presence of Wm. Lewis } Joseph Chapline (seal) Jonathan Hagar (seal) Barnett McNitt (seal)

It is thereupon ordered by the same Court that the Proceedings aforesaid together with all things thereto relating be transmitted according.

Test — Elie Williams Clk.

In

In Testimony whereof that the aforegoing, is truly taken from the record of proceedings of Washington County Court. I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Public Seal of my office this tenth day of May Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety six.

(Seal of Washington County)

Elie Williams Clk. of Wash. Coty Ct.

At which said day to wit, the said second Tuesday of May being the tenth day of the same month, in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety six, comes into the General Court here, as well the aforesaid Joseph Chapline by Arthur Shaaff his attorney, as the said Peter Slusser by John Thomson Mason his attorney, Thereupon on motion of the said Peter Slusser by his attorney aforesaid,

It is ruled by the Court here that the aforesaid Joseph Chapline assign the errors in the record of Proceedings between the said Peter Slusser and the aforesaid Joseph Chapline before the Judges of the General Court here brought for correcting those errors in the record and proceedings aforesaid supposed to be therein, or Judgment by the court here will be entered against him in default thereof, Whereupon the aforesaid Joseph Chapline by his Attorney aforesaid comes and prays a day of Imparlance to the errors aforesaid for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid by him the aforesaid Joseph Chapline to be assigned untill the second Tuesday of October next and then to assign those errors for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid in the record and proceedings aforesaid rendered, and to him it is granted, the same day is given to the said Peter Slusser also.

At which said second Tuesday of October being, the Eleventh day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety six to which said day the said Joseph Chapline had leave to Imparle and then to assign those errors for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid in the record and proceedings rendered supposed to be therein, comes again into the General Court here as well the aforesaid Joseph Chapline by his Attorney aforesaid as the said Peter Slusser by his attorney aforesaid. And the aforesaid Joseph Chapline by his attorney aforesaid comes and says that in the record and proceedings aforesaid there is manifest error in this that by the record and proceedings aforesaid it appears that the Judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid given is rendered for the said

Peter