Expended and the said Joseph Chapline in mercy &c.

And the said Joseph Chapline by his attorney aforesaid prays that he may appeal from the Judgment aforesaid of the Court here to the Judgment of the General Court and to him the same is granted.

And the said Joseph Chapline exhibeth to the Court here an appeal Bond entered into by himself and two securities which by the Court here is approved of the tenor whereof ensues in the following words Viz.

Know all men by these presents that we Joseph Chapline, Jonathan Hager and Barnet McNitt of Washington County are held and firmly bound unto Peter Nufer in the sum of one hundred pounds Current money, to be paid unto him the said Peter Nufer his certain attorney, Executors administrators or Assigns to the which payment well and truly to be made and done we bind ourselves our heirs and Executors jointly and severally firmly by these presents, sealed with our seals and dated this 21st day of April 1796.

Whereas the said Peter Nufer at April Term 1796 obtained a judgment in Washington County Court against the said Joseph Chapline for the sum of forty six pounds, two shillings and six pence Current money and Costs of Suit from which Judgment the said Joseph Chapline has appealed to the General Court.

Now the Condition of the above obligation is such that if the above bound Joseph Chapline the party appellant as aforesaid shall not pursue the Directions of the Act of Assembly entitled An Act for regulating writs of Error and granting appeals from and to the Courts of Common Law within this province at the next Court ensuing, before whom such appeal ought to be tried as aforesaid and prosecute the same with effect and also pay and satisfy, to the said Party Peter Nufer his heirs Executors Administrators or Assigns in Case the said Judgment shall be affirmed as well all and singular the Debts Damages and Costs adjudged by the Court before whom such action was brought and from whose Judgment such appeal was made as aforesaid, as also all costs and damages that shall be awarded by the Court before whom such appeal shall be heard tried and determined as aforesaid then the above obligation to be and remain in full force and virtue otherwise of no effect.

Signed Sealed and Delivered In the presence Wm. Lewis } Joseph Chapline (seal) Jonathan Hager (seal) Barnet McNitt (seal)

It is thereupon ordered by the same Court that the proceedings aforesaid together with all things thereto relating be transmitted accordingly.

Test Elie Williams Clk.

In Testimony

In Testimony whereof that the aforegoing is truly taken from the record of Proceedings of Washington County Court. I have hereunto set my hand (seal) and affixed the publick seal of my office this tenth day of May Anno domini seventeen hundred and ninety six.

Elie Williams Clk of Wash: Coty Court.

At which said to wit, the said second Tuesday of May being the tenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety six comes into the General Court here as well the aforesaid Joseph Chapline by Arthur Shaaff his Attorney as the said Peter Nufer by John Thomson Mason his attorney, Thereupon on motion of the said Peter Nufer by his attorney aforesaid, It is ruled by the Court here that the aforesaid Joseph Chapline assign the Errors in the record of Proceedings between the said Peter Nufer and the aforesaid Joseph Chapline before the Judges of the Court here brought for correcting, those errors in the record and proceedings aforesaid supposed to be therein or Judgment by the Court here will be entered against him in default thereof, Whereupon the aforesaid Joseph Chapline by his Attorney aforesaid comes and prays a day of Imparlance to the Errors aforesaid for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid by him the aforesaid Joseph Chapline to be assigned until the second Tuesday of October next and then to assign those Errors for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid in the record and proceedings aforesaid rendered and to him it is granted the same day is given to the said Peter Nufer also.

At which said second Tuesday of October being the eleventh day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety six to which said day the aforesaid Joseph Chapline had leave to imparle and then to answer those errors for the reversal of the judgment aforesaid in the record and proceedings rendered supposed to be therein) comes again into the General Court here as well the aforesaid Joseph Chapline by his Attorney aforesaid as the said Peter Nufer by his Attorney, aforesaid And the aforesaid Joseph Chapline by his Attorney, aforesaid comes and says that in the record and proceedings aforesaid there is manifest Error in this, that by the record and proceedings aforesaid it appears that the Judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid given is rendered for the said Peter Nufer against him the aforesaid Joseph Chapline Whereas by the law of the land the Judgment aforesaid ought to have been rendered for the said Joseph Chapline against him the said Peter Nufer, And the aforesaid Joseph Chapline for the Errors aforesaid and other Errors in the record and proceedings prays that the Judgment aforesaid may be reversed, annulled and altogether held for naught, And that the said