Government on the first Monday of February next pursuant to the writ of Error within contained to shew Cause if any there be why the judgment in the within mentioned cause should not be reversed and why the error complained of should not be corrected and why speedy justice should not be done to the parties in that behalf.

Witness the Honourable Samuel Chase Esquire one of the Judges of the said Supreme Court at Baltimore this Eleventh day of October in the year seventeen hundred and ninety six.

William Cooke Atty for Plff. in Error. Samuel Chase.

In Pursuance whereof and according to the form and Effect of the act of ~~Congress~~ Congress of the United States in such case made and provided a transcript of the Judgment last aforesaid so as aforesaid rendered with all things thereunto relating are hereby transmitted to the said Supreme Court of the United States accordingly.

Test Burton Wheteroft Cl. Ct. Appls.

State of Maryland to wit. I hereby certify that the aforegoing is a true Copy taken from the record and proceedings of the High Court of appeals of the State aforesaid.

In Testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the public Seal of the said high Court of appeals this twenty first day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety six and of the Independence of the United States the twenty first.

(Seal)

Burton Wheteroft Cl. Ct. Appls.

As by the inspection of the record and proceedings thereof which were lately brought into the said Supreme Court for certain causes of error to be corrected appears on record: And whereas afterwards to wit, on the same first Monday of February in the year one thousand seven hundred and ninety seven before the said Supreme Court at Philadelphia came the said James Clerke and said that in the record and process and also in the rendering of the judgment of the said Court of Appeals revoking annulling and holding entirely as void the Judgment of the General Court of Maryland for the Western shore of Maryland upon the first writ of error it is manifestly erred in this to wit, that the Judgment aforesaid of the said General Court of Maryland for the Western shore of Maryland was on the said first writ of Error revoked annulled and held entirely as void, where by the law of the land the said judgment of the said General Court ought

ought in all things to have been affirmed and so in this respect it is manifestly erred and the said James Clerke prayed that the revoking annulling and holding as void the said judgment of the said General Court of Maryland for the error aforesaid and others in the record and process of the revoking annulling and holding as entirely void the said Judgment of the said General Court of Maryland be reversed annulled and held as entirely void, and that the said judgment of the said General Court of Maryland be in all things affirmed and that he the said James Clerke be restored to all things which by reason of the revoking annulling and holding as entirely void the said judgment of the said General Court of Maryland he hath lost and that the said Richard Harwood junior to the said errors may answer and the said Richard Harwood junior on the same day being solemnly called likewise came and said that there was no Error either in the record or proceedings aforesaid or in the rendition of the judgment aforesaid of the said Court of Appeals revoking annulling and holding as entirely void the Judgment of the said General Court of Maryland and prayed that the said Supreme Court here might proceed to the examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matters above for error assigned and that the judgment aforesaid of the said Court of Appeals might be in all things affirmed: and whereon in the said Supreme Court upon mature deliberation thereon had it is considered that the judgment of the said Court of appeals be revoked reversed and held as entirely void and that the said James Clerke be restored to all things which he hath lost by reason of the same and that the said Judgment of the said General Court be in all things affirmed and stand in its full force and Effect the said judgment of the said Court of appeals notwithstanding: And it is further considered by the said Supreme Court that the said James Clerke recover against the said Richard Harwood junior his costs and charges by his the said James Clerke about the suit aforesaid in the said General Court and Court of Appeals and in the said Supreme Court expended and that the said James Clerke may have the special mandate of the said Supreme Court to be directed to the said General Court; as by the record thereof in the said Supreme Court remaining appears of record: And as it is right, that as well those things which in the said General Court are lawfully transacted and adjudged as those things which are lawfully transacted and adjudged