all such Costs as have accrued on the writ of fieri facias aforesaid and how you shall Execute this writ that you make appear unto the said judges, at the place and on the day aforesaid; and have you then and there this writ. Witness the honourable Robert Goldsborough Esquire, Chief Judge of the said Court, the twelfth day of December Anno domini seventeen hundred and ninety six.

Issued the 7th day of March 1797. John Gwinn Clk.

On the back of the foregoing writ was thus Endorsed, to wit.

Debt £4000 Current money, Costs 755 lbs of tobacco, additional Costs 198 lbs of Tobacco, to be released on payment of £277.10.7¾ Current money with Interest of 10 p Cent from 1st October 1790 till paid and Costs.

And now at this day, that is to say, the said second Tuesday of May being the ninth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety seven, Comes the said State of Maryland by its attorney aforesaid, and the sheriff of the County aforesaid, to whom the said writ was in form aforesaid directed, makes no return thereof.

And the said State of Maryland by its attorney aforesaid files in Court here the following decree to wit.

Matthew Beard ag.t
James Williams and others

In Chancery February Term 1800.

This cause being submitted on the bill, Answer and Exhibits, the same were by the Chancellor read and considered.

The Injunction, in this Cause issued was granted merely, on the Ground of the Complainants stating himself to be liable to be executed at Law for much more money than was fairly due. As to the other ground stated in the Bill viz. that there was no just foundation for the Judgment at law, the Chancellor long since gave his opinion, that if this be the Case, the Complainant ought to have availed himself of the point in the General Court.

The Chancellor percieves not the least foundation for relief in this Court except what is stated by Williams the defendant, viz the payment to him of £112.10.0 by Mr. Howard Ca.

For this sum the Complainant is certainly entitled to a Credit.

On the whole, it is this tenth day of March Eighteen hundred by Alexander Contee Hanson, Chancellor and the authority of this Court, adjudged, ordered and decreed that the Injunction in this Cause issued be and it is hereby declared to be dissolved; provided that

that not more be levied by Execution at law against the Complaint by the defendants than the sum of three hundred and thirty pounds fifteen shillings and eleven pence with the legal Interest of six per Centum thereon, from the first day of October seventeen hundred and ninety six until the time of levying or payment. It is further decreed that each party bear the proper Costs.

In stating the account the Chancellor has charged 10 per Ct. interest to May 1. 1796. as the date of the Judgment the aggregate sum is £432.9.8 and 6 per cent 10.16.2. is charged thereon to October 1. 1796 when Credit is given for the payment as Stated by the answer of £112.10.0. It is the balance with Interest of 6 per Cent which is to be levied. It did not appear to the Chancellor that the 10 per Cent could be charged after Judgment, but that whatever was due at the time of the Judgment should form a principal, on which six per Cent only, should be charged the following, is the Statement made by the Chancellor.

October 1. 1790.	Principal sum due	£ 277.10.7¾.
May 1. 1796. date of Judgment	Interest thereon of 10 p Cent	154.19.0¾.
	total	£ 432.9.8.
October 1. 1796.	Interest of 6 per Cent	10.16.3.
	total	£ 443.5.11.
	By Cash	112.10.0
		£ 330.15.11.

A. C. Hanson Chanr.

N.B. This cause was submitted for final decision, on the bill, answer and Exhibits. So that in fact no defence against Williams Claim has been made and the answer according to the Established principles of this Court, is to be taken for truth, and the allegations of the Bill on oath altho sufficient for obtaining the Injunction in the first Instance avail nothing on final hearing. The Chancellor makes this remark for the satisfaction of the Complainant who thought proper to send him a private letter relative to the suit which letter Could not with propriety, have any Influence on the mind of the Chancellor, who in all cases is to decide from the bill answer and proofs and not from the bare allegations of the parties; There is one remark which might have been properly made in the decree. The answer States a debt due to the Trustees; and it does not appear, that Mr. Howard directed the application of his payment to be made to the latter debt. It does not then appear