of them that is to say John Partridge, Charles Baker, John Lowe, and Samuel Coale are sworn upon that Jury, and because the rest of the said Jurors so appearing being, according to the act of Assembly in such case provided, challenged by the parties that is to say, the said Thomas Hodgkin, Thomas Barber, William D. Taylor, James Lawrence, Henry McPherson and Thomas Blackiston on the part of the petitioner, and the said Joseph Harwood and Jacob Rayer on the part of the Defendant, other Jurors being called come, that is to say Joseph Howard, Stephen Jones, Richard Key Watts, William Shaw, George Bradford, Edward Burgess Junior, John Trueman and Solomon Sparrow, whereupon certain of them, that is to say: Joseph Howard, Stephen Jones, George Bradford and Edward Burgess Junior are sworn upon the Jury, and because the rest of the said Jurors appearing being, according to the Act of Assembly in such case provided, challenged by the parties that is to say the said Solomon Sparrow on the part of the Petitioner and the said Richard Key Watts, William Shaw, and John Trueman on the part of the Defendant other Jurors being called come, that is say, George Digges, William Hamilton, Mathew Ball, and John Brice; and because the said Jurors so appearing being in like manner challenged by the parties that is to say the said George Digges and William Hamilton on the part of the Petitioner and the said Mathew Ball and John Brice on the part of the Defendant; other Jurors being called come that is say: John Welch: whereupon the said John Welch is sworn upon the Jury; and because a sufficient number of Jurors not being present to complete the said pannel; therefore others of the by-standers by the Sheriff of the County of Anne Arundel are summoned who being called certain of them come that is to say, Robert Duvall, Nicholas Brewer and Clement Richards; whereupon one of them that is to say the said Nicholas Brewer is sworn upon the Jury; and because the rest of the said Jurors so appearing being, according to the Act of Assembly in such case provided, challenged by the parties that is to say the said Robert Duvall and Clement Richards on the part of the said defendant; other Jurors of the by-standers so summoned by the sheriff aforesaid, being called come, that is to say; William Alexander and Philemon Brown and because the said Jurors so appearing being according to the act

Act of Assembly in such case provided challenged by the parties that is to say: the said William Alexander on the part of the Defendant and the said Philemon Brown on the part of the Petitioner, other Jurors of the by-standers so summoned by the sheriff aforesaid being called come that is to say Ezekiel Gott and Richard Dorsey; whereupon one of them that is to say the said Richard Dorsey is sworn upon that Jury; and because the other Juror so appearing being challenged according to the act of Assembly aforesaid on the part of the petitioner, another Juror of the by-standers so summoned by the sheriff aforesaid being called comes that is to say: John Munroe whereupon it being objected on the part of Defendant to the said John Munroe being sworn on the Jury alledging that he does not stand indifferent between the parties to this issue; thereupon the said John Partridge and Charles Baker the two first of the Jurors herein before impanelled are sworn to try whether the said John Munroe stands indifferent between the parties to this issue; who having retired from the bar of the Court here, afterwards return and on their oath, do say that the said John Munroe does stand indifferent between the parties to this issue and because the said John Munroe being challenged according to the act of Assembly aforesaid on the part of the Defendant, another Juror of the by-standers so summoned by the sheriff aforesaid being called comes that is to say Richard Mackubin; whereupon the said Richard Mackubin is sworn upon that Jury which said Nicholas Brewer, Richard Dorsey and Richard Mackubin so summoned by the sheriff aforesaid from among the by-standers elected at the request of the parties and by command of the Court here are aded to the Panel, whose names to the panel aforesaid are annexed according to the form of the Statute in such case made & provided and the Jurors so addett together with the other Jurors aforesaid before impannelled being elected, tried and sworn to say the truth in the premises above contained, do on their oath say that the Petitioner Charles Mahoney is the son of Nelly who was the daughter of Mr Cornelis Sue, who was the daughter of Mr Digges Sue, who was the Daughter of a Black woman named Joyce, formerly held and claimed Colonel Henry Darnell; That the said Henry Darnell departed this life in the year seventeen hundred & eleven and that the said Joyce from whom the Petitioner is descended was for many years held & claimed by the said Henry Darnell

as