same month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety seven, to which said day the said James Mewburn had leave to Imparle and then to answer to the declaration aforesaid of the aforesaid John Hacket and Alexander Grant in the plea aforesaid, come again into the General Court here as well the aforesaid John Hacket and Alexander Grant by James Pillar Boyd their Attorney, as the said James Mewburn by his Attorney aforesaid; And the said James Mewburn by his Attorney aforesaid as before defends the force and injury when and so forth. and says that the aforesaid John Hacket and Alexander Grant their Action aforesaid thereof against him to have or maintain ought not because he says that he did not assume upon himself in manner and form as the said John Hacket and Alexander Grant above against him have complained; and of this he puts himself upon the Country &c and the aforesaid John Hacket and Alexander Grant in like manner and so forth, Therefore let a Jury thereon appear before the Court here, on the second Tuesday of May next by whom and so forth, and who neither and so forth, to recognize and so forth, because as well and so forth, the same day is given to the said parties then and there and so forth.

And now, at this day, to wit, the said second Tuesday of May being the eighth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety eight come again into the General Court here, as well the aforesaid John Hackett and Alexander Grant by James Pillars Boyd and William Mc Mechen their Attornies as the said James Mewburn by John Mackall Gantt and Luther Martin his Attornies, And the aforesaid John Hackett and Alexander Grant by their Attornies aforesaid file in Court here the following Account, to wit. [here enter the Account] And the said James Mewburn by his Attornies aforesaid relinquishing the averment aforesaid above by him pretended says that he cannot deny the action aforesaid of the aforesaid John Hacket and Alexander Grant so as aforesaid against him brought nor but that the aforesaid John Hacket and Alexander Grant have sustained Damage to the sum of One thousand pounds Current Money by occasion of the promise and assumption aforesaid in manner and form as the aforesaid John Hacket and Alexander Grant above against him have declared.

(Therefore)

Therefore it is Considered by the Court here that the aforesaid John Hacket and Alexander Grant recover against the said James Mewburn as well the sum of One thousand pounds Current Money their Damages aforesaid in form aforesaid confessed as also the sum of four Dollars fifty Cents and quantity of Nine hundred and eighty pounds of Tobacco by the Court here unto the aforesaid John Hacket and Alexander Grant on their assent adjudged for their costs and charges by them about their suit in this behalf laid out and expended And the said James Mewburn in Mercy and so forth.

Memorandum, Judgment rendered in this Cause, on the Twenty third day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety eight for the Damages laid in the Declaration and costs of Suit; To be released on payment of Three hundred and eighty two pounds six shillings and six pence Current Money with Interest thereon from the fourteenth day of May one thousand seven hundred and ninety six and costs, And the Plaintiffs agree to stay Execution untill the first day of August next, Subject nevertheless to the following Terms fixed, to wit, It is Agreed that any payments or discounts made appear to James Clark and Archibald Moncrief to have been made to John Hacket or Alexander Grant on account of the Goods sold by them to said Mewburn and for which the above Judgment is entered up, shall be allowed, provided the said James Clarke and Archibald Moncrief or either of them with a third person mutually chosen by them shall certify the sum so paid to the Clerk of the General Court on or before the first day of July next, This agreement not to effect either of the parties in Equity usual stay of Execution

Wm. Mc Mechen for Plffs,
Jno. Mc. Gantt for Defendt.

Test. John Gwinn, Clerk.

Bryan Hampson
against
Benoni H. Wade.

Be it remembered, that heretofore, to wit, on the Eleventh day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety seven, the said Bryan Hampson by John Thomson Mason his Attorney sued out of the General Court, the Writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad respondendum, directed to the Sheriff of Prince Georges County, in the words and figures, following, to wit.

The State of Maryland, ss. To the Sheriff of Prince Georges County,

(greeting)