leave of the Court here to complete the survey under the old warrant of resurvey in this cause, by the second tuesday of May next; and the same day is given to the sheriff and surveyor for that purpose. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid, and by order of the Court thereon is continued until the said second tuesday of May next. And now at this day towit, the said second tuesday of May in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred, come again into the General Court here, as well the said John Nokes lessee of the said Samuel Owings and Stephen Winchester by his attorneys aforesaid, as the said John Ritter, Elias Magers and Peter Frankforter by their attorneys aforesaid: Nevertheless the said John Ritter, Elias Magers and Philip Frankforter by their attorneys aforesaid, relinquishing the plea aforesaid above by them pretended, say that they cannot deny the action aforesaid of the said John Nokes lessee of the said Samuel Owings and Stephen Winchester so as aforesaid against them brought, nor but that the said John Nokes lessee of the said Samuel Owings and Stephen Winchester ought to recover his Terms yet to come and unexpired of, in and unto, a tract of land called Iron Intention, with the appurtenances lying in the County aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the said John Nokes lessee of the said Samuel Owings and Stephen Winchester recover against the said John Ritter, Elias Magers and Philip Frankforter his Terms aforesaid yet to come and unexpired of, in and unto, a tract of land called Iron Intention, with the appertenances lying in the County aforesaid, in form aforesaid confessed. And that the said John Nokes lessee of the said Samuel Owings and Stephen Winchester have thereof his possession against the said John Ritter, Elias Magers and Philip Frankforter. And it is also considered by the Court here, that the said John Nokes lessee of the said Samuel Owings and Stephen Winchester recover against the said John Ritter, Elias Magers and Philip Frankforter the sum of One thousand and seventy seven pounds of tobacco, by the Court here, unto him in his ament adjudged, for his Costs and Charges by him about his suit in this behalf laid out and expended; And the said John Ritter, Elias Magers and Philip Frankforter in mercy and so forth. — Memorandum. Judgment rendered in this Cause, on this Twentieth day of May One thousand eight hundred, for possession and Costs of suit; Subject to the following Terms towit. Judgment for the plaintiffs for possession of the tract of land called Iron Intention agreeably to the plaintiffs location thereof with a Correction of variation and Costs. This Judgment is not to affect any right or claim in Equity of the plaintiffs to the land included within the illustrations of the original Certificate. — P. B. Key for Defts. — J. T. Mason for plff.

May 20th 1800. — Test. — John Ewing Clk.

May Term 1797. R.S.K. S.A.J. Continuances 2d/1822 M.K.	776 Dum. M.	Nicholas Blackbeck. Anne Cooke.	Pone. Stephen. Richard. Nat. plus. Deft. death sug. May 1799. pltff alth sug. Abated.

John Dunlap. against Edward Norwood. } Be it remembered, that heretofore towit, on the sixteenth day of January in the year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and ninety nine; John Dunlap the plaintiff in this cause, by Robert Smith his attorney prosecuted and sued forth out of the General Court here the writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad respondendum against him the said Edward Norwood to the Sheriff of Baltimore County directed in the form and of the tenor following towit. The State of Maryland ss. To the Sheriff of Baltimore County, Greeting. You are hereby commanded to take Edward Norwood late of Baltimore County yeoman if he shall be found in your bailiwick and him safe keep, so that you have his body before the Judges of the General Court, to be held at the City of Annapolis on the second tuesday of May next, to answer unto John Dunlap of a plea of Trespass on the Case &c. Hereof fail not at your peril, and have you then and there this writ. Witness the honorable Robert Goldsborough Esquire Chief Judge of the said Court the ninth day of October Anno domini 1798. — Issued the 16th day of January 1799. — — R. S. — Jno. Ewing Clk. —

And the said John Dunlap on the day of the prosecuting and suing forth the said writ of Capias ad respondendum against him the said Edward Norwood as aforesaid, declared against him the said Edward Norwood in the plea aforesaid in form following towit. — Western shore of Maryland, Baltimore County towit, Edward Norwood late of Baltimore County yeoman was attached to answer John Dunlap of a plea of Trespass on the Case &c. And whereupon the same John Dunlap by Robert Smith his attorney complains that whereas a certain John Norwood on the First day of August in the year seventeen hundred and ninety four, at a certain place called Alexandria towit, at the County aforesaid (he being then and there a person trading merchandizing and using commerce) according to the usage and custom of merchants did make his certain Bill of Exchange in writing subscribed with his own hand bearing date the same day and year aforesaid directed to the aforesaid Edward Norwood (he being then and there a person trading merchandizing & using commerce) and thereby did request him the said Edward to pay unto the order of a certain Mr. George Steven the sum of Two thousand five hundred Dollars fifteen months after the date thereof at the house of Yates and Campbell Baltimore negotiable at the Bank of Maryland which said Bill of Exchange afterwards towit on the First day of September in the year aforesaid at the County aforesaid was shewn to the said Edward for his acceptance thereof and the same Bill of Exchange the said Edward did then and there accept according to the usage and custom of merchants and by reason thereof and according to the usage and custom of merchants he the said