may be restored to all that he has lost by occasion of the said Judgment and that the said Robert Brent may rejoin to the errors aforesaid &c

And the said Robert Brent by his attorney aforesaid says there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid, or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and prays likewise that the court here may proceed to the examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the aforesaid Joseph Boone above for errors assigned, and that the said Judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth: But because the court here are not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the court here until the second tuesday of May next, to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises, because the court now here thereof are not yet advised &c

And now at this day to wit the said second tuesday of May being the fourteenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred comes again into the General Court here as well the aforesaid Joseph Boone by his attorney aforesaid, as the said Robert Brent by his attorney aforesaid: Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid, by the aforesaid Joseph Boone above for errors assigned, being seen heard and fully understood by the court, and mature deliberation had thereupon: for that it appears to the court here that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in giving the Judgment aforesaid

Therefore it is considered by the court here that the Judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed: and it is further considered that the said Robert Brent recover against the aforesaid Joseph Boone his damages costs and charges aforesaid so as aforesaid rendered, in the said county court of Prince Georges, as also the quantity of six hundred and sixty six pounds of tobacco adjudged to him on his assent, according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided for his costs and charges which he hath sustained, by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by means of the prosecuting the said writ of error by the aforesaid Joseph Boone, and that he the said Robert Brent have thereof his execution and so forth.

Memorandum. Judgment affirmed with costs in this cause on the thirtieth day of May in the year of our Lord eighteen hundred: and the defendant in error, agrees to stay execution until the first day of August next

Test Jno. Gwinn clk

Oswald Brooke vs Joseph Yates & Richard Yates	Be it remembered that heretofore to wit on the sixteenth day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety nine, Oswald Brooke sued out of the State of Maryland's High Court of Chancery the writ of the State of Maryland

of error directed to the Worshipful Justices of Prince Georges County court in form following to wit

Maryland Sc. The State of Maryland To the Honourable the Justices of Prince Georges County Court greeting. Because in the record and process and also in the rendering of Judgment on a certain action brought by Joseph and Richard Yates against Oswald Brooke for the sum of one hundred pounds (current

current money and costs, "To be released on payment of seventy four pounds eight eight shillings and eleven pence current money with interest from the twelfth day of July seventeen hundred and ninety three and costs, at a court held before you our said Justices at April term seventeen hundred and ninety seven, manifest error as it is said hath happened to the great damage of the said Oswald Brooke as of his complaint we have received, and we being willing that the errors if any there be should be duly corrected and full and speedy Justice done to the said Oswald Brooke in this behalf command you that if Judgment be thereof rendered that then the record and process aforesaid with all things thereunto relating under your seals distinctly and openly you send and this writ, so that the same may be had before the Judges of our General Court at Annapolis on the second tuesday of May next, that the record and process aforesaid being inspected into we may further do therein for correcting that error which of right and according to the laws and customs of this State may be done, Witness the Honourable Alexander Contee Hanson Chancellor this sixteenth day of January seventeen hundred and ninety nine

Test Saml. Harvey Howard Reg. Cur. Can

At which said second tuesday of May being the fourteenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety nine and the day of the return of the aforegoing writ The worshipful Chief Justice and associate Justices of Prince Georges County to whom the said writ was in form aforesaid directed, in pursuance thereof and according to the form and effect of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, make return of the said writ, to the court here with the following record to wit

Prince Georges County Sc. At a county court of the State of Maryland begun and held at Upper Marlborough Town in and for said county on the first monday in April being the third day of the same month in the year of our Lord seventeen hundred and ninety seven.

Present
Michael Jenifer Stone Esquire chief Justice
David Crawford and Thomas Duckett } Esquires associate Justices

Joseph Boone Esqr. Sheriff ——— John Read Magruder Junr. clk

In the records of proceedings of the same court, among other things is the following to wit.

Key Joseph Yates and Richard Yates survg. partners of Jno. Pilly Joseph Yates and Richard Yates against J.M.G. Oswald Brooke	Prince Georges County to wit. Be it remembered that heretofore to wit on the fifth day of November in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety five the said Joseph Yates and Richard Yates surviving partners of John Pilly, Joseph Yates and Richard Yates by Philip Barton Key their attorney sued out of the county court here the State of Maryland's

writ of capias ad respondendum directed to the sheriff of the said county closed in the words and figures following to wit, Prince Georges County to wit, The State of Maryland to the sheriff of Prince Georges County greeting. We command you that you take Oswald Brooke late of Prince Georges county Physician if he shall be found in your bailiwick and him safe keep so that you have his body before the Justices of our next County court to be held at Upper Marlborough Town